



Sovereignty

Copyright - Sovereignty | Sovereignist - All Rights Reserved

Sovereignty

By Sovereign

“One People, One State, One Purpose.”

Contents

Introduction	1
Demands of Sovereignty	4
I. Path to Sovereignty	6
1. Capitalism	20
2. Communism	35
3. Fascism	48
II. True Democratic System	60
1. Public Services Program	65
2. Process of Election	68
3. Political Factionalism	75
III. Sovereigntyism	
1. The Supremacy Complex	81
2. Global Revolution	84
3. Principles of Sovereignty	99
Interview with a Sovereignist	109
Oath of Sovereignty	117

Introduction

The sole purpose of Sovereignty is to unite all people together to create a single State with a global government. The State of Sovereignty must be established, because it is the only way to restore true democracy and establish universal equality. The wealthy elite will, however, do everything in their power to prevent this from happening. The wealthy elite are supremacists who attack every righteous principle existing in today's society. The wealthy elite sow discord among people, reinforce social disparities, and ignore human rights just to maintain their supremacy over others. Unfortunately, those who are suffering because of them are known as servients. A servient is someone who is forced, in one way or another, to accept an inferior position in society. Furthermore, Sovereignty begins with the "Demands of Sovereignty," which is a list of twenty demands aimed at creating a more free and equitable society.

The "Path to Sovereignty" will briefly examine how monarchies, national leaders, and corrupt politicians destroy human solidarity by deliberately stirring up conflicts between people in order to further their own interests. Sovereignty will then examine "Capitalism," "Communism," and "Fascism" and explain how these forms of government have failed to provide the people with an adequate standard of living. The "True Democratic System" is a new system of governance that will replace the electoral system which has been corrupted by the wealthy elite. This new system of governance is absolutely required because the legitimacy and effectiveness of the election process has been severely undermined by monetization. Under the present system, it is impossible to adequately represent

the vast majority when a wealthy minority is influencing the outcome of every election in their favor.

The True Democratic System aims at creating an unprecedented level of responsibility in governance through the "Public Services Program." This new system of governance will be devised in such a way that it will give all people the right to vote and be elected provided they have not been convicted of a crime. It should be mentioned that the "Process of Election" for this new system is and always will remain a work in progress. Sovereignty will then address the issue of "Political Factionalism" and how political parties are destroying the government from the inside out. Although "Sovereignism" has been divided into three parts it is important that they are understood as a whole, because together they provide insight into the significance of Sovereignty. First, "The Supremacy Complex" will explain the never-ending struggle against human supremacy. Second, the "Global Revolution" will outline the Sovereignists' twenty demands and future policies that will ensure their success. Third, the "Principles of Sovereignty" will discuss the Sovereignist movement in greater detail, so that there is a clear understanding of what it is trying to accomplish.

Sovereignty will conclude with a brief interview with its author, Sovereign, in the "Interview with a Sovereignist," which consists of twenty-one questions regarding the Sovereignist movement. It is imperative for all those who support this revolutionary movement to take the "Oath of Sovereignty," because the oath represents the interests of the people and not just the interests of a single monarch or national leader. More importantly, those who take the oath acknowledge that Sovereignism is the only way to secure a single State with a global government. Of course, notwithstanding factionalism among themselves, the wealthy elite are

united around the goal of preserving their rule. They will try to suppress, even forcefully crush, the Sovereigntist movement in a desperate attempt to remain in power. But their desperation to weaken the Sovereigntist movement will only serve to strengthen it.

Demands of Sovereignty

- 1. All humans are to be recognized and treated as equals.**
- 2. The dissolve of all nations so that there can be a single and indivisible Sovereign State.**
- 3. All humans have the right to free educational services.**
- 4. All humans have the right to free medical services.**
- 5. All humans have the right to free legal services.**
- 6. All humans receive pay and employment equity. Services that are functionally more important than others or require more skills to be performed effectively are to be adequately rewarded.**
- 7. All the means of transport (railways, roads, ships, aircraft, etc.) are to be taken over by the State. They will become the property of the State and all humans are to have free access to land-based transport.**
- 8. All debts are to be forgiven entirely without compensation.**
- 9. All banks, including private, are to merge into a global State-regulated bank that will introduce a universal digital currency.**
- 10. The State is to establish and maintain a common language.**
- 11. The State guarantees a livelihood to all humans and provides for those who are incapacitated to work.**
- 12. The abolition of private ownership in favor of collective ownership.**
- 13. Inheritance is to be limited to one million dollars and excess wealth is to be collected and redistributed equally in order to provide the poor with their basic needs.**

- 14. The abolition of Income Tax and the introduction of a Universal Sales Tax.**
- 15. The State is to manage and regulate all contract-based services (utilities, telecommunications, etc.) and they are to be taxed accordingly.**
- 16. All oaths of allegiance are to be abolished and replaced with the Oath of Sovereignty.**
- 17. The disarmament of all Weapons of Mass Destruction.**
- 18. The State is to establish universal legal age requirements for voting, driving, etc. so that all provinces share the same legal age requirements.**
- 19. The State is to establish a planned economy, which adjusts production to the needs of the people.**
- 20. The State is to ban mass surveillance and all other invasive surveillance activities threatening the rights and freedoms of the people.**

WE MUST FIGHT RATHER THAN SUBMIT TO CAPITULATION. WE MUST STAND UP TO THOSE WHO WOULD HAVE US LIVE ON OUR KNEES. AND, ABOVE ALL, WE MUST NOT WAIT ANOTHER MOMENT IN FEAR OR IGNORANCE TO DO WHAT WE KNOW IS RIGHT. NOW IS THE TIME FOR REVOLUTION—FOR US TO UNITE AS ONE PEOPLE, UNDER ONE FLAG, FOR ONE PURPOSE. FOR SOVEREIGNTY!

Path to Sovereignty

There is no doubt that, at this present time, corruption is pervasive and entrenched in our society. Democratic governments have failed to protect their citizens from corruption and have, in fact, become corrupted themselves. We have been misled into believing that the present democratic system is still working, but nothing could be further from the truth. The democratic system that has served us for so long is now, unfortunately, being used against us. Public officials are supposed to act in the best interests of the people, but it has become evidently clear that they are only acting in their own interests. Public officials have taken advantage of their positions and can no longer be trusted. But that is not all. These corrupt officials want to impose a new system of their own that will give them complete control over everything and everyone. The truth is that we have been betrayed by the very people who swore to protect us.

Public officials have broken their oaths to the people and are abusing their positions for personal gain. Governments need to take action against these corrupt officials, but it is clear that they are neither capable nor willing to do so. Corruption is destroying what is left of true democracy and we are running out of time. Politicians are always promising us change, but nothing ever changes. They present to us the illusion of progress, but if we look around things are clearly getting worse. The economy is failing, unemployment is rising, and global debt has reached its breaking point. We are facing the greatest economic crisis in history, but today's so-called leaders are completely oblivious to it. Corruption is rampant, and power, both political and economic, is in the hands of the wealthy elite. Our freedom and

very way of life is at risk because we have tolerated the imbalance of power for far too long.

There are even countries that still have constitutions that allow monarchies to retain significant power and influence—the same monarchies which have proven again and again they cannot be trusted. History clearly shows that monarchies have never done anything in the interests of the people except in rare cases when public outcry, threatening to turn into open revolt, demanded their attention or their abdication. However, a constitution should never give special privileges to an individual or group of people for no reason without extending those same privileges to all people. Humans need to be recognized and treated as equals, but monarchs like to think that they are superior to others because of their positions of wealth and power. They claim to be kings, queens, and emperors, in other words, the self-entitled rulers of humanity. And they justify their positions because of the order of succession (i.e., inheritance).

Monarchies have also taken it upon themselves to create *oaths of allegiance* that are exclusively and entirely to them. The real problem with these oaths is that anyone who pledges absolute obedience to a king or queen, such as those in the military, becomes honor-bound to obey them or be convicted of treason. Tyrants have always used these oaths to manipulate soldiers into committing atrocities against innocent people or be executed for insubordination. But an oath should never be used to protect an individual's false sense of entitlement, which is why these self-serving oaths need to be immediately abolished. But these are not the only oaths we should be concerned about; *oaths of citizenship* have proven to be even more destructive. Indeed, these seemingly harmless oaths are actually defending the greatest fabrication in human history: nationalism.

Nationalism is the feeling that one has of being loyal to their country, often with the belief that it is superior and more important than other countries. From this belief, however, the nationalist ideology has created and enforced a very pervasive form of segregation which has led to many unnecessary conflicts. From the moment we are born, we are given a national identity—an identity that we are forced to accept. National leaders use this fabricated identity, our nationality, to not only segregate us from all other nationalities but in fact to undermine all progress we make towards solidarity. Moreover, there are millions of people from around the world who have become refugees because they were forced to flee their homes due to war, persecution, or poverty. There are also millions of people who are considered “stateless” because they are not recognized by any country; so in a sense, they have no nationality.

The number of refugees and stateless persons around the world continues to grow each year at an alarming rate. But whose fault is it that millions of people have become refugees or are stateless because they have no country? It is certainly not their fault. The fault lies in nationalism. This is because nationalism involves:

- Creating and encouraging divisions among people to prevent them from forming alliances that could potentially challenge the head of state
- Subjecting people to nationalistic propaganda and even radicalism to make them believe that their nation or head of state is superior to others
- Suppressing all opposition and anti-national sentiment by using political repression and violence
- Fomenting distrust and enmity between other nations and their heads of state

These nationalistic elements turn us against each other and distract us from the real problem: supremacy. As a result of nationalism, hundreds of millions of people have perished in wars between nations. So many lives lost, and for what? Most people believe that their country cares for them, so they are prepared to do anything, even give their lives, to protect it. But does a country truly care about its citizens? No, it does not. A country is not a living entity and therefore it does not have any feelings or emotions. A country is simply a nation with its own government, occupying a particular territory. This means that if a country did care for its citizens it would not be the country itself, but those governing the nation, in other words, the government. So the question that remains is: Do national governments care about their citizens? All of them claim they do, but their actions prove otherwise.

Every government has failed to provide its citizens with an adequate standard of living. The standard of living, as Sovereignty understands it, is the protection, safety, prosperity, and happiness of the people. The government has a responsibility to protect these living standards, but it is evident that violent crimes, substance abuse, and suicide are all on the rise. Our standard of living has deteriorated exponentially over the years, because corruption has severely undermined the integrity of the State. Corruption is pervasive in all levels of our government, state-owned enterprises, justice system, and law enforcement. Public officials are constantly abusing the powers of their offices and are getting away with it. We need to put an end to this. The people need to take action against these corrupt officials and demand their immediate resignation from the public service.

The people have a right to alter the government, and to take any measures necessary to protect their standard of living. Those in power will, of course, try to

deprive the people of their constitutional rights because they do not want anything to change. They want to remain in their entrenched positions of power. Almost all of the important decision-making positions are occupied by corrupt politicians and, as a result, we can no longer voice our concerns about the decisions that affect our lives. The government is supposed to reflect the will of the people, but it is clear that it has developed a will of its own. Public officials are using political repression, police brutality, and mass surveillance to remain in power. This is not a government that serves the people. This is a government that serves itself—this is tyranny!

We must come to terms with the fact that our government has failed us and things are only getting worse. Nations are in turmoil, corruption is widespread, and tensions over resources are rising. These are matters which are threatening global stability and must be dealt with immediately, but governments are either unable or unwilling to deal with them. Politicians claim we are in need of reform, but reform is no longer an option. We need a new government. The people need a responsible government that will work openly; public officials who will take responsibility for their actions; and a system that will protect their standard of living now and in the future. This undoubtedly raises a very important question, how are the people to secure this new system of governance?

This new system of governance can be secured in only one way, and that is by removing every public official who has failed in their responsibilities. Their removal must be immediate and under no circumstances should it be delayed. We are taking an unnecessary risk when we wait to remove an incompetent official at the end of their term, because we are leaving power in the hands of someone who has already failed to do their job. Public office is a position of trust and power involving responsibility to the people; a position which should only be held by

someone who has proven to be responsible. Why should the people have to wait to remove an incompetent official when there is another person ready and willing to take their place? It is only sensible to immediately remove the official who has failed in their responsibilities and replace them with another suitable candidate. However, the present system does not operate in such a way, because these are officials who cannot be removed from office and refuse to resign.

We are a people who are oppressed and forced to live under a system which is controlled by the wealthy elite. This is a system that has been corrupted by rich individuals who all know each other and are, for the most part, working together to prevent good people from getting into office. It is also a system that has given these rich individuals exclusive privileges to appoint their own family and friends to positions of office without the public's knowledge. In fact, candidates for public office are often related to or very close friends with someone already in office. It is no coincidence that the same wealthy families and circle of friends keep getting elected and re-elected. The wealthy elite have altered the electoral system, in their favor, by monetizing the election process. We have been fooled into thinking everyone has a fair chance of being elected, but these are rigged elections and the only people in office are the ones who can afford to be.

The electoral system has failed to achieve its intended purpose, which is to provide all candidates for public office with an equal opportunity to be elected. Has the electoral system ever given candidates an equal opportunity to be elected? Perhaps it did at one point, but it does not anymore. The electoral system that we have today serves no other purpose than to give you the impression that you have freedom of choice, but you have no choice. Those in power choose for you and you just have to accept it. Elections have been rigged and only the richest people have

the opportunity to be elected. In fact, almost every person who has held a position in executive office was exceptionally wealthy. Most politicians will never admit this truth to the public and some will even try to deny it, but how can every candidate have a fair chance to be elected when political campaigns now cost hundreds of millions of dollars?

The reality is that the only candidates who have even the slightest chance of being elected either have or can acquire substantial amounts of money. Every political campaign now depends on millions of dollars in so-called contributions, but this is merely political corruption. For what better way is there to guarantee that someone will work in your interests than by giving them millions of dollars? There is no doubt that candidates are selling themselves out, so that they can get into office or at least greatly increase their chances. As a matter of fact, positions in the public service are often bought outright and paid for in money! The wealthy elite have corrupted the electoral system, through favoritism and bribery, so that they can appoint their own crooked puppets to positions of office. This cannot be allowed to continue. Something has to change. But how are we to change this corrupted system?

As previously stated, there is only one way for the people to change such a corrupted system and that is by the immediate removal of every official who has failed in their responsibilities. Those in office have an obligation to fulfill all of their responsibilities or be ready to face the consequences for failing to do so. Public officials have a responsibility to do what is required of their positions, but more importantly, they have a responsibility to do what is morally right. Public officials must be held accountable for the choices they have made and the actions they have taken. Every official should therefore be placed under careful scrutiny to

ensure that they are actually acting in the public's interests. We cannot allow the dishonest or fraudulent actions of even one official to go unpunished, because it will surely have a negative influence on all the other officials in our service.

Nevertheless, there is a growing distrust of government, because public officials are constantly abusing their positions for personal gain. But let there be no misunderstanding. There are honorable officials serving the people, but they are few and far between. But how can the people learn to trust the government when it has neglected to remove or even punish corrupt and incompetent officials? These are officials who have been allowed to do as they please without ever having to answer to the people whom they are supposed to serve. They have actually forgotten that the very positions they hold were entrusted to them on our behalf. But this is absolutely unacceptable and they need to be reminded that we decide what they can and cannot do. We have the right to choose who we want in office and ultimately how long they are to remain in our service.

We, the people, not only possess the power to elect someone into public office but the power to remove them as well. And the immediate removal of a public official because of their failure is the only way responsibility can be truly enforced. We must, of course, make sure that we are removing the right person—the official who failed to fulfill their responsibilities—and not someone else by mistake. We must also make sure their removal from office is for the right reason and not some unrelated or arbitrary issue. However, simply removing bad officials from office will not be enough to secure responsibility in governance, because no matter how well organized it is a government will only be as good as those serving in it. We must therefore abolish fixed-term elections and, at the same time, abolish term limits for those who are currently in our service.

The abolition of fixed-term elections is necessary because we have been acting on the belief that by continually electing new individuals into office that we have secured their responsibility to us. But this does not, in any way, secure their responsibility to the people. Most public officials have already sold themselves out well before they had even taken office and by doing so had pledged their loyalty, not to the people, but to those who paid them. Fixed-term elections are doing nothing more than replacing one evil with another. But even if an election did put a good official in office they are almost always forced out in the very next election. The overwhelming fact is that no matter how many times we replace our officials the system will never change. Political corruption is severely undermining the legitimacy and effectiveness of the entire electoral system.

Elections are supposed to give all candidates a fair chance to be elected, but the elections we have now always favor the richest candidates—the ones who can afford long and costly political campaigns. By monetizing the election process, the wealthy elite have essentially made it impossible for just any candidate to be elected. But this merely shows that money, not honesty or experience, is the deciding factor for who gets into public office. We need to put an end to the wealthy elite's control over elections, so that every candidate will have a fair chance to be elected and not just the rich candidates they support. But if there are no fixed dates for elections when should they take place? Elections should only take place when there are vacancies in office. Instead of continually replacing our public officials with new ones, we need to put the officials who are currently in our service under a new system. But before we can establish a new system, we must first rid ourselves of the old one.

The abolition of term limits is absolutely necessary because limiting the number of terms a public official can serve is actually doing more harm than good. We think that by limiting our officials' time in office that we are keeping them in line, but we are doing no such thing. Candidates for public office know well before they get elected that they will eventually have to leave and this leads them to becoming either: honest officials who will use the powers of their offices to satisfy the interests of the people and corrupt officials who will abuse the powers of their offices to satisfy their own interests. Under the present system, however, corrupt officials do not fear exposure because they think that they will only be caught after their term is over. But even if they are caught doing something dishonest or fraudulent while in office they are, in most cases, allowed to remain there until the end of their term.

So why are corrupt officials not being removed from office? Because under the present system it is nearly impossible to remove a public official from office before their term is over. The fact is that there are still many laws and policies within the constitution that have not been truly updated since it was first ratified. So although those laws and policies may have been effective then, many of them have become almost completely useless now. Of course, those who are benefiting from these outdated laws and policies do not want them to change. Corrupt politicians are always trying to prevent, even outright sabotage, any attempts to repeal or amend the very laws and policies that they are exploiting. The system thus creates a vicious cycle between corrupt politicians who, on one hand, cling to the laws and policies that benefit them and, on the other hand, honest politicians who try in vain to change them. But even if honest politicians were successful in changing those laws and policies their efforts would still be for nothing because they are trying to fix a system that cannot be fixed.

This is a system that has become so corrupted that even massive reforms have failed to do any good. Yet some would argue that the electoral system is “better than nothing,” because we just have to wait until they finish their term before we can remove them. But do firefighters wait until a fire spreads out of control before they attempt to extinguish it? No, absolutely not. Firefighters act as quickly and efficiently as possible to extinguish a fire before it can spread. We must act no differently when it comes to the public service, because corruption is a fire that destroys every democratic principle. Corrupt officials undermine the credibility of all the other officials, prevent new laws and policies from being passed, and destroy any trust the people have in the government. But we cannot act quickly or efficiently against corrupt officials, because the present system protects them right to the very end of their terms.

The electoral system is *reactive* and therefore it removes officials at the end of their terms. But this does not serve our needs. Because if a corrupt official is allowed to remain in office until the next election there is a strong possibility they will not be removed at all. And by the time a corrupt official is finally removed from office it is very likely that they have caused even more damage. Corrupt officials must be removed from the public service right away, not after they get what they want. We need a new and more effective system. The people need a system that will be *proactive* and will remove officials from office the moment they fail in their responsibilities. The electoral system must, therefore, be abolished so that a new system can be established in its place. This new system, unlike the present one, will allow an individual to be appointed to a position and remain there as long as they are responsible.

The plan, then, is to establish a responsible system of governance in which the length of an official's term of office will be judged according to their performance and honesty. And should they fail in the responsibilities of their position they will be immediately removed so that another person can take their place. What will this mean for the officials who are currently in our service? They will remain in our service until there is some failure on their part for which they should be removed. After all, they have already been elected or appointed to their positions, so their only duty now is to continue serving us responsibly. Moreover, by allowing officials to remain in office we are giving them the opportunity to build a reputation for themselves. And what better way is there for the people to know who is truly responsible than by simply looking at one's reputation? It is something an individual will have their whole life and will serve as a constant reminder of either their dedication or failure to the public service.

Under this new system, it is true that most individuals will probably be in the public service their whole lives, but it should not be expected that they will remain in the same positions. Those in office will undoubtedly struggle for advancement, that is, they will strive to attain positions that are more important and therefore more reputable. And certainly those who work honestly and to the best of their ability should be the ones to advance to the positions which carry the greatest responsibility. Yet, under our present system, we are continually removing our most experienced officials and replacing them with new individuals who often have little to no experience of the positions they are being appointed to. But we do not need to keep replacing our officials with new ones; we just need the officials who are currently in our service to be properly supervised and held accountable for their actions.

Some would fear, however, that if an individual is allowed to remain in office for an undetermined amount of time that they would eventually lose sympathy with the people and become corrupt. But this fear is largely due to the kings and queens throughout history who ruled over the people in an especially cruel and sadistic manner and could not be easily removed from power. However, a responsible system of governance will implement various measures to regulate and supervise the actions of our officials, so that any dishonest or fraudulent act will result in their immediate removal. A responsible system of governance will also ensure that every official fulfills all of their responsibilities while in office or else they will lose their position and ultimately destroy their reputation. Suffice it to say, public officials who are fully accountable to the people would be constrained from using the powers of their offices for improper purposes.

Now more than ever is the time for revolution; for us to finally take a stand against corruption and protect true democracy. We say we want a “responsible government,” so let responsibility begin here, with the establishment of this new government. Corrupt officials are not going to resign voluntarily, which means their removal depends almost entirely on the will of the people. The only way we can secure a responsible system of governance is by (1) removing every official who has failed in their responsibilities, (2) abolishing fixed-term elections, and (3) abolishing term limits for those who are currently in our service. However, the great difficulty lies in the fact that we trust those with power regardless of how they acquired it. But should we not be placing our trust in those who have proven themselves to be responsible enough to hold power?

Nevertheless, it should be clear by now that nothing is going to get better under the present system of governance. The electoral system has failed, in almost

every way, to provide the people with a free and fair process of election. Not only that but this is a system that is continually forcing good officials out of office, while at the same time keeping bad officials in office. But if the present system of governance has failed should the people re-establish an older form of government? No. It would be reckless to re-establish a government that has already failed, because such a government is bound to fail again. We must always progress forward and not, in a fruitless attempt, revert back to the unsuccessful and often undemocratic governments of the past. Now let us briefly examine the three most well-known forms of government which have failed, in one way or another, to responsibly serve the people.

Capitalism

Capitalism is an economic system in which the means of production are privately owned and operated for profit. It was believed that capitalism, together with the creation of a free market economy, would lead to a better standard of living for everyone. In reality, however, capitalism has only led to a growing number of social disparities, widespread political corruption, and economic instability. The capitalists claim that the free market gives everyone an equal opportunity to succeed, but this is clearly not the case. Capitalism has instead created a market with very limited opportunity and even freedom for that matter, because it is controlled and shared by a small number of “megacorporations.” The fact is that corporations have grown substantially larger in size, power, and influence than anyone ever thought possible. Corporate power has become an instrument for the gradual destruction of true democracy.

It is often remarked that “history repeats itself” but that is not true—the truth is that what you allow is what will continue. And we have allowed capitalism to undermine the very essence of democracy. We have tolerated the growth of corporate power to the point that corporations have now become more powerful than even our own government. Over the years, capitalism and democracy have been deliberately represented as if they are one and the same. But make no mistake. They are two completely different and in fact opposing systems. The whole purpose of the democratic system is to secure free and fair elections, but democracy cannot accomplish this when corporations are constantly interfering with elections to further their own interests. Capitalism, on the other hand, has

been allowed to undermine the election process for so long that it has actually led to an even greater imbalance of power between the rich and the poor.

Many of you are probably unaware that the hundred richest people possess more wealth than the rest of the world's population combined. People often claim that "the rich are getting richer and the poor are getting poorer," but the reality is that the rich cannot get any richer. They own you. They own everything. And now these depraved opportunists are deliberately using fear as a political tool to take away the only thing we have left... our freedom. The capitalists and their corporations are using terrorism as an excuse to violate our privacy and are secretly collecting and retaining our phone and internet records. Knowing this, we would like to think that our public officials are taking action against these multi-billion dollar corporations to protect our rights and freedoms, but the fact is that it was our very own government that gave them permission to secretly collect and retain our personal information in the first place!

Public officials are supposed to use their positions to serve the people, but it has become very clear that they are only using their positions to serve the capitalists and their corporations. Our government is working in collaboration with various corporations to create even more of these invasive surveillance programs which they claim, of course, will be used to protect us from "terrorists." But this is merely a blatant lie that was invented by corrupt officials to deceive the people of what these programs are actually being used for. The truth is that no one knows the real capabilities of these surveillance programs because neither the government nor corporations will allow public advocates to thoroughly examine them. Much of what our government does is shrouded in secrecy and corporations are no different since they are always protected by "corporate confidentiality."

However, if history has taught us anything, a government that works in secrecy, for whatever reason, is certainly not doing so in the best interests of the people. There is no doubt that our public officials are using these invasive surveillance programs to directly undermine our rights and freedoms. Mass surveillance is not only completely unethical but also entirely undemocratic! Therefore these surveillance programs need to be immediately shut down, because their existence is threatening the very thing they claim to protect. Yet many of our public officials are secretly collaborating with corporations in order to keep them in operation. And the few honorable officials we do have fear that standing up to this injustice will put their careers, even their lives, at risk. The harsh reality is that corporate interests have taken precedence over democratic principles.

Those in office have a duty to fight corruption, but they are fighting a losing battle. Corruption has already won. The electoral system has become so corrupted by capitalism that it no longer represents a majority but instead a wealthy minority. We are under the impression that candidates for public office will work in our interests once elected, but these are individuals who only have their interests in mind. Almost every candidate for public office has invested money in at least one multi-billion dollar corporation, thus making it impossible to know if their loyalty is truly to the people. But one thing is certain; many of our public officials are only in office because of the millions of dollars they received during their political campaigns from the very corporations they are invested in! But these corporations do not just give away millions of dollars for no reason—they clearly have a hidden agenda.

Politicians are constantly being caught accepting bribes in return for favors, turning a blind eye to corporate corruption, or even giving special privileges to the

corporations their family and friends are invested in. The same politicians have also been pushing to privatize our most important services under the pretense that it will save us millions of dollars every year. But this is absolutely false because privatization has already cost us more than we can imagine. The truth is that corporations are only willing to take over industries which they know will make them profit. Once a corporation has taken control of an industry, three things are bound to happen sooner or later: (1) workers will get paid less and lose any benefits they may have; (2) the same goods and services will cost more; and (3) individuals will be subjected to various fees and surcharges which can only be described as extortionate.

Politicians will continue promoting the privatization of our most important services as if it is in our best interests, but corporations will never provide us with more affordable services. After all, why would a corporation—an entity which was created with the sole purpose to make profit—take over an industry where there is no profit to be made? Let us take a closer look at how privatization is adversely affecting our economy and consequently our standard of living. Private education has undoubtedly led to a major barrier to the equal opportunity of learning. The fact is that most people cannot afford a higher education, because educational institutions have created financial barriers in the form of tuition fees. And it is clear that students are struggling to pay their tuition fees each year, especially since the costs associated with higher education keep going up.

The capitalists are deliberately raising tuition fees in order to force people to take on bigger loans from banks—many of which are privately owned and controlled! And here it becomes evident that private banks and educational institutions are working in collusion to force people into paying more than they

need for an education. So while educational institutions bring in more and more money directly from tuition fees, banks are making substantial amounts of money indirectly from student loans. But these are merely usurious loans that most people will still be repaying years after they have completed their education. However, educational services should not be controlled by those who are more concerned about profit than the success of students. Education should serve to improve one's knowledge and not be used, like it is now, as a means of debt enslavement.

Moreover, access to healthcare has greatly diminished due to privatization and even those who have access to publicly funded healthcare systems often have to wait months, even years, before they can receive any kind of medical treatment. But by the time an individual finally receives the medical care they need it is often too late. Millions of people are dying every year from treatable conditions simply because they cannot afford the grossly overpriced medical treatments corporations demand them to pay. There is no question that when an individual requires medical care that they should receive it immediately, but unfortunately almost all of today's life-saving treatments are reserved only for those who can afford them. The fact is that the capitalists have torn away the true meaning of human life by placing their greed before the needs of the people.

These are just a few examples of how privatization adversely affects our standard of living, but how was it possible for corporations to have taken control of so many industries? The answer lies within our present economic system: the free market. The free market is an economic system in which the prices for goods and services are determined by unrestricted competition between privately owned businesses. But what kind of competition can exist between a multi-billion dollar corporation and a small business? None whatsoever! The reality is that multi-billion

dollar corporations merely allow smaller businesses to exist in order to create the illusion of a free market. Of course, these corporations are only willing to tolerate the existence of smaller businesses as long as they do not act too detrimentally to their interests.

The capitalists want to give the public the impression that the free market is a fair economic system—that everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed. But there can never be an equal opportunity in a market that is already controlled by multi-billion dollar corporations that either buy out smaller businesses or force them into bankruptcy. Surely, then, there must be some kind of competition between these multi-billion dollar corporations? However, this is not the case. Corporations have found that it is better to collaborate with, rather than compete against, one another by dividing the market and sharing the profits. This so-called free market thus necessitates government regulation in order to combat corporate *monopolies*, but almost every regulation that is proposed is met with fierce opposition by not only the multi-billion dollar corporations but in fact our very own public officials!

It should come as no surprise that many of our public officials leave office far wealthier than when they entered. One likes to believe that public officials are committed to protecting the interests of the people, but in this belief one often forgets that officials are also committed to protecting the interests of the corporations they are invested in. The fact is that almost every public official has invested money in one or even several corporations and therefore have bound themselves to corporate interests. And here the old proverb best applies, “one cannot serve two masters.” Indeed, one cannot serve both capitalism and democracy equally for these two things have always been opposed to each other.

And it is for this reason why officials who have invested money in, or have accepted contributions from, corporations will always fail to remain loyal to the people.

The capitalists also want to give the public the impression that every country benefits from "free trade," but in reality it is neither free nor fair. Free trade can be defined as "international trade between countries which do not restrict imports from, or exports to, other countries." Now, it is understandable that some trade regulations may have to be put in place, but many of the so-called *free trade agreements* that exist are actually undermining the whole purpose of free trade. Corporations are only using free trade to outsource jobs and hide their profits in private offshore banks in order to pay workers lower wages and avoid taxes. Our government needs to take action against the corrupt and fraudulent practices of these multi-billion dollar corporations, but how can it accomplish anything when our political parties are too busy fighting amongst themselves?

If a government is divided against itself, that government cannot stand. Unfortunately, it has become clear that our government is gradually being torn apart by factionalism. Political parties which were once united around the interests of the people are now fighting for their own. But all of these political parties are merely different branches from the same infected tree. The fact is that every single one of them has been corrupted by capitalism. So how are we to rid this evil which has rooted itself deep within our political parties? Just like with any treatment, no good has ever come from half measures. Therefore simply removing the branches from an infected tree cannot be considered a remedy. No, the entire tree must be uprooted and destroyed in order to truly prevent the disease from spreading further. And exactly the same measure must also be carried out against the corruptive rot known as capitalism.

Nevertheless, even if our government tried to take action against one of these disgraceful corporations, immediately all the others would take its side, and claim that the government is infringing on their constitutional rights. But what does the constitution have to do with corporations? The answer is that, as a result of poor leadership and corruption, corporations were given the rights of persons, in other words, corporations are now considered people. But corporations are not people! No, by definition a person is a living entity with feelings and emotions—things which corporations do not and will never possess. Yet the decision to give corporations the rights of persons was not only made without the approval of the people but in fact every effort was made to ensure that it was kept hidden from the public until after it was decided! And here we can be certain that if corporations possessed any humanlike characteristics at all they could be described in just one word: *criminals*.

But this, of course, raises a new question, why did the capitalists go to such great lengths to make their corporations be considered as people under the constitution? The fact is that the capitalists went to such great lengths to make their corporations be considered as people, because they want to use them to manipulate certain laws and policies without having to fear any legal consequences for their own criminal actions. Those in denial of this assertion should ask themselves this, has a corporation ever been arrested and gone to prison? No. Corporations, like people, have the right to a trial by jury, but if they are found guilty of a crime they cannot be arrested or go to prison. It can be argued that a corporation is not a living entity and therefore cannot be imprisoned, which is true, but they are under the direct control of living entities. So if the capitalists get to benefit when their corporations are doing well, they should also be held responsible when their corporations are caught breaking the law.

After centuries of failed attempts, the capitalists' goal of replacing the democratic government with their own privatized government is now finally within their reach. As previously stated, the capitalists have always tried to manipulate the election process to control who gets elected to public office, but now, as a result of "corporate personhood," their involvement in elections is much greater than ever before. Corporations are manipulating elections in their favor by giving certain political parties and candidates for public office hundreds of millions of dollars in so-called contributions. However, as citizens we bear the ultimate responsibility for protecting true democracy and therefore it is not only our right but our duty to take a stand against corporate falsehood. Since corporations do not possess a *free will* of their own, they should not be allowed to make *willful* decisions in politics.

Aside from their criminal involvement in our elections, the capitalists are also heavily involved in countries controlled by totalitarian governments which they support either financially or militarily. The capitalists and their corporations have a long history of supporting dictatorships from around the world provided that they can work out some kind of deal, in other words, come to a mutual agreement. But here we must not fool ourselves. The capitalists' involvement in global affairs is not to promote democracy but in fact to spread capitalism. The capitalists are only willing to get involved in the affairs of other countries the moment they see an opportunity to profit off of them. But their greed does not stop there. No, these depraved opportunists will then take full advantage of the situation by slowly privatizing other important services and industries within these countries in order to make their citizens financially and economically dependent on them.

By taking the most important services out of the hands of the State, the people will become almost entirely dependent on the capitalists and their

corporations to provide them with even the most basic things. But this state of dependency only paves the way for the future extortion of the people. With the economy now in their usurious hands, the capitalists are not only able to dictate the costs associated with goods and services, but they can, through bribery or blackmail, pressure politicians into altering laws and policies in their favor. Of course, even if they failed to alter laws and policies in their favor, the capitalists will just ignore them altogether because they know governments are not ready to face financial or economic retaliation. Corporations are neither accountable to the public nor obligated to operate for the collective good. No, the one and only obligation they have is to make as much money as possible regardless of how they do it.

Furthermore, this brings us to one of the greatest issues of our time: the *exploitation of labor*. The reality is that hundreds of millions of people are the unfortunate victims of poor working conditions—conditions which they are forced to work under so that they can provide for their families. Yet for many people the exploitation of labor is just something that is always spoken about but never actually seen. But do not be fooled because corporate exploitation is all around us and is visible to anyone who is not willfully blind. Although the distinction between master and slave made the exploitation of labor clear in the past, the distinction between today's exploiters and those being exploited has become less apparent. But one thing is certainly clear: the capitalists and their corporations have gone to a great deal of effort to hide their criminal activities from the public.

The exploitation of labor has probably never been worse than it is now. Workers are constantly being used and tossed aside, regardless of how well they work, by multi-billion dollar corporations that do not care about anything except

profit. The capitalists have taken advantage of the poorest and most corrupt countries whose citizens have since become the victims of unthinkable exploitation. Corporations are making billions of dollars every year by forcing people, even children, to work under very poor conditions without any consideration of their health or safety. And the failure of governments to hold them accountable has only contributed to the problem. The individual worker is almost never in a position to demand anything, so workers must come together to form *labor unions* to pressure corporations, through general strikes and protests, into improving their poor working conditions even if just a little.

The capitalists and their corporations are, however, suppressing all attempts to improve working conditions, because they believe that spending even a single dollar towards better working conditions will negatively impact their so-called “profit margins.” But every worker deserves to be treated with respect; not just as tools to be used for some *bottom line*. There is no doubt that the exploitation of labor will continue to exist now and in the future until something is done about it—until we do something about it. Unfortunately, our government is made up very largely of political profiteers who not only decline, but even oppose, any kind of beneficial action. Politicians see very well the terrible consequences of corporate exploitation, but instead of protecting workers they simply shrug their shoulders and claim that “nothing can be done.” But there is always something that can be done. They are simply choosing not to do anything!

For years the people have been paying billions and billions of dollars in order to prevent the economy, as they are made to believe, from collapsing. Yet economic stability is still deteriorating at an alarming rate, because national governments have allowed global debt to reach an unsustainable amount. Of course today's

politicians want the public to believe that they are doing everything they possibly can to prevent another economic collapse, but the truth is that they are doing absolutely nothing for they have either failed to see the warning signs or are deliberately ignoring them. Under our present system, there is no possible way this debt can ever be repaid. But even if this debt could be paid back why should we be the ones to repay it? The fact is that most of this debt, if not all of it, belongs to the capitalists and they have no intentions of paying it back. But we have been left carrying their debt long enough and refuse to be enslaved to it for all eternity.

The time has come to free ourselves from the shackles of past debts—debts which were never ours to begin with. In order to break the tyranny of debt, however, all debts will need to be forgiven entirely without compensation. The political parties we have now, the supporters of capitalism and corruption, will undoubtedly be among the first to oppose this course of action. But how many more economic disasters must there be before they realize that the free market economy is unsustainable? No politician will ever admit that capitalism has failed for it would mean the admittance of their own failure. The fact is that if our political parties had not acted in such a criminally careless manner this debt would have been dealt with sooner and the economic crisis we are facing would have been completely averted. Unfortunately, it is only a matter of time before our economy collapses again and the capitalists drag us down with them.

There is still a chance, however, for the people to prevent the economy from collapsing and that is by establishing a responsible system of governance. Never can any of our public officials repair the damage they have done. By their own incompetence or even criminal actions they have failed to protect our standard of living and therefore have failed us. But if we can no longer trust our government to

do what is right how will we prevent the economy from collapsing? The only way to prevent the impending economic collapse is to forgive all debts entirely without compensation. It will be impossible, of course, to carry out this measure under the present system of governance. For one cannot expect the same political parties and politicians which have done nothing year after year to do anything except if it benefits them in some way. The economy is failing—this is certain. And its fate rests in the establishment of a responsible government or its collapse under the present one.

The capitalists have created the largest propaganda machine in history, which serves the dual purpose of promoting capitalism and undermining anyone against it. This propaganda machine, however, is better known today as “corporate media.” Corporate media is a term that refers to the system of mass media (broadcasting, publishing, etc.) which is entirely owned and controlled by the capitalists and their corporations. There are other forms of media which claim to be independent and free from corporate influence, but unfortunately those who voice their concerns through these forms of media often go unheard by the public or, in most cases, are drowned out by the noise created from corporate media. We can all agree that the media should be used to educate and inform the public; that is, news should improve our knowledge and keep us informed on matters of significant importance.

Corporate media, however, manipulates the news in such a way that important information, and often the very truth, is lost or distorted, so that the public is kept in the dark about what is actually happening. The fact is that the so-called news we are sold today is nothing more than corporate propaganda. We are constantly being subjected to millions upon millions of advertisements, while

matters of political and economic importance are passed over in silence. The capitalists and their corporations do not want the public to know what is happening either politically or economically, because the less we know the more control they have over us. One likes to think that the media has an obligation to be impartial and to provide honest news coverage, but corporations will never release any information that goes against their interests or could potentially harm their so-called “public image.”

Corporations are deliberately withholding important information from the public so as not to arouse any suspicion of their criminal activities. But they are quick, of course, to release news that will influence public opinion in their favor. This amounts to nothing more than “corporate censorship.” So we must ask ourselves, can we trust the corporations that profit from every lie to actually tell the truth? No, we can never trust anything these corporations tell us. The only thing we can trust is the fact that they will say and do anything for money. The information that is released to the public and how it is presented by corporate media plays a significant role in leading people further and further away from the truth—that capitalism only serves to expand corporate power. Only the capitalists can praise a system that is as corrupt and dishonest as they are themselves.

Corporations should have never been given the rights of persons, because it allows them to operate as if they are people without having to be accountable to the public. And there is no telling how many crimes they have been allowed to get away with. But that ends now. It is time we abolished corporate personhood by declaring that the rights protected by the constitution belong to natural persons alone. Corporations need to be properly regulated and supervised to ensure the public they are not breaking any laws—and if a corporation is found guilty of a

crime it should no longer be given the right to exist. The capitalists will undoubtedly claim that we have no right to do this, but they must be reminded that we, the people, are the living embodiment of the constitution. No longer will the people work in the interests of corporations, but from now on corporations will work in the interests of the people.

Communism

Communism is a system of social organization that aims to abolish private property and establish communal ownership of the means of production. Communism was believed by many to be the final stage of societal development, because it was supposed to end all class struggles through the creation of a communal society—that is, a classless and stateless society. As history shows, however, the communists not only failed miserably in their efforts but actually worsened the existing conditions of society! Communism was always bound to fail given the fact that its very foundation was built entirely on lies and deceit. Therefore no matter how many times someone tries to make it work, the outcome will always be the same. Yet to this day, there are still some people who openly support communism, but pay no attention to them for they either do not know history or refuse to learn from it.

Never will any communist admit that communism failed, everywhere and in every possible way, to provide the people with an adequate standard of living. Instead they immediately object that this was not communism—that “real communism” has never existed. But these fools, in their objection, reveal either their lack of intelligence or their deceitfulness. For in denying the existence of communism, they are also denying history itself or at least trying to distort it. The communists are well aware that if they actually admitted the truth—that even they have no idea how communism is supposed to work—then others would not be so quick to support their criminal ideology. Nevertheless, by their attempts to distort the past in order to deceive the present, the communists’ plans for the future are

made very clear. They are deliberately trying to stir up yet another bloody war with the aim of seizing power!

Of course, those who openly support communism try to avoid drawing attention to the fact that it still exists in some countries. These unfortunate countries not only show just how disgraceful communism really is, but also provide insight into what a future under this criminal ideology would be like—a future of corruption and subjugation. But why is it that only these countries converted to communism? The communists believed that communism would first take root in developed countries before spreading throughout the rest of the world, but like many of their beliefs this turned out to be false. Unfortunately, it is often the poorest and most corrupt countries which fall victim to this infamous deception. For if a country is possessed by many evils then the communists are more likely to succeed in creating the impression that such evils can only be abolished through communism. History has shown, however, that communism did not abolish any evils but only multiplied them.

The communists nevertheless succeeded in luring many people to their unrighteous cause with the promise of a better future, but little did they know that they were only being led to their future destruction. This is best proved by the fact that every country that converted to communism was brought to ruin by its very ideology. Just like many of today's politicians, the communists kept making more and more promises they had no intentions of keeping just to get people to support them. Of course, liars will say just about anything as long as it benefits them. Yet when their words did not have the desired effect, these false prophets readily turned to violence to get their message across. In fact, communism encourages the use of violence and "despotic inroads" to achieve its goals! So let us look more

closely at some of the evils which came from, or were caused by, the communists and their criminal ideology.

Communism claims that “the history of all hitherto existing society is the history of class struggles.” This assertion is not only wrong but also fails to recognize humanity’s greatest evil: supremacy. Indeed, the history of humanity is not based on class struggles, although it may appear to be, but almost entirely on supremacy. The reality is that even in a communal society the Supremacy Complex will still exist, because there will always be those who will try to satisfy their sense of superiority. Communism will never end the class conflict, because the communists themselves constitute as a distinct class—a class which is just as ruthless and oppressive as the wealthy elite. History offers no end of examples for the correctness of this assertion. Thus, communism inevitably led to the creation of a new class struggle in which the communists themselves became the very people they sought to overthrow.

Regardless of this fact, the communists believed that, by immediately taking steps to creating a classless and stateless society, they would one day end all class struggles, but with each step they slowly began to realize the impossibility of their task. For our society has already progressed in such a way that the *State and Citizen* are not only inseparable, but the destruction of one would undoubtedly lead to the destruction of the other. The most striking proof of this is that communism in its attempt to radically bring about societal change ultimately led to the internal and, with it, external collapse of the government and economy. Of course, being completely oblivious of this truth, the communists continued down a path that would eventually lead to their own destruction. Yet it was not the abolition of the

State that brought about their downfall, although it surely would have, but in fact their obsession for power and supremacy over others.

There has never been a democratic communist government nor will there ever be, because communism is radically opposed to democracy. Despite this fact, there are still some who believe in the delusion or at least like to pretend that communism and democracy can coexist with each other. But do not be deceived for true democracy can never coexist with communism. No, the communists are only willing to take part in elections until they succeed in winning, by force or fraud, the support of the people. Regardless of how they come to power, the communists will have already begun imposing new laws and policies that would undermine our rights in order to establish a totalitarian system of governance. Totalitarianism is a political system in which the government, under the control of a dictatorship, aims for the centralization of power in its own hands and decentralization of power in the hands of the people.

The communists had no intentions of abolishing the State once in power, but instead had every intention of establishing a totalitarian government to remain in power indefinitely. The communists thus began replacing the idea of democracy, slowly but surely, with that of dictatorship. From defamation to assassination, the communists did everything they could to eliminate those who supported democracy from the outside, while at the same time they had violent partisans infiltrate the government in order to undermine it from within. Every dirty trick, every fraudulent scheme, and every underhanded tactic was used by the communists until they had finally overthrown the democratic government. Now, with democracy out of the way and the government under their control, the

communists could begin using their positions to enslave and to rule over the people dictatorially with an “iron fist.”

The great danger of ideologies like communism and nationalism lies precisely in their condescension. They reject every truth and try to replace them with their own false principles. The most convincing proof of this can be seen in the way they cunningly use propaganda to manipulate and turn people against one another. The communists even went so far as to create a new form of propaganda known as “disinformation,” which is the deliberate use of false or misleading information with the sole intention of deceiving the public. It can be argued that every government has, at one point or another, deliberately lied to the public. But it was the communists who really mastered the art of lying. In fact, their propaganda machine was so effective at spreading lies and “fake news” that even they had convinced themselves that their own lies were true!

The communists, like so many tyrants in history, just wanted to force their crooked beliefs on others. And today their aim is no different. The communists have been waiting years for our government to show just a single moment of weakness, so that they can seize control of it and establish their tyranny over us. Communism, however, does not see the control of State authority as an end in itself, but as a means to an end. Indeed, the communists only intend to use the authority of the State as an instrument for the gradual indoctrination of the people. Yet the communists knew that if they are going to succeed in *brainwashing* people then they must first carry out, as their highest aim, the complete destruction of religion. To this end, the communists formed a terrorist organization known as the “League of Militant Atheists” and tasked it with destroying religion by all means of violence.

No words can ever describe the atrocities committed by the communists, but in order to expose them for the murderous tyrants they truly are then it is necessary to point out just a few of their *crimes against humanity*. The most terrible example of their criminal actions is shown most clearly and definitely on the millions upon millions of unmarked graves of those who were brutally murdered in the name of communism. The deliberate and malicious slaughtering of millions of innocent people must never be forgotten. The massacre of the innocents, however, revealed an even more wretched crime: the communists' state-sponsored genocide against the religious community. Here, there can be no doubt as to the true intentions of the communists—they want to completely eradicate religion from the world and replace it with "State atheism."

The communists desire nothing more than to get rid of religion, so that they can more easily impose their criminal ideology on the people. And history reveals just how far these tyrants are willing to go to achieve their destructive ends. Communism made every attempt to destroy religion and make religious worship impossible by carrying out acts of terrorism against the religious community. The communists banned religion from being spoken about publicly, tried to destroy all religious symbols and buildings, executed peaceful clergy, and even abducted children from families known to be religious! Yet when these measures failed to break the will of the people, the communists gladly resorted to even greater violence to break their spiritual resistance. History shows how millions within the religious community were sadistically murdered or starved to death by the communists because of their beliefs.

But if this overwhelming evidence which is visible to all has not yet convinced one of the communists' criminal actions, then, this unfortunately shows just how

effective their propagandistic campaign of lies and disinformation really is. Anyone who argues that communism is not guilty of these crimes is not only contradicting known historical facts, but they are also deliberately ignoring the atrocities which are being committed right now in countries under the control of communist regimes! It is simply impossible to overlook the fact that the religious community today is still facing unheard-of persecution and oppression at the hands of the communists for refusing to convert to atheism. Those who deny this are either blind to the truth or are deliberately trying to cover it up. Therefore let us be absolutely clear about this: Militant atheism is not merely incidental to communism but in fact an inherent part of it.

Today's "real communists" want us to believe, of course, that they have changed and have become more tolerant of religion, but do not be fooled because these pathological liars have not changed at all. The truth is that they are only willing to tolerate religious freedom until they no longer need the support of the religious community which they absolutely hate and are determined to destroy. But here, too, one should not make the mistake of thinking that communism is only a threat to the religious community or religious freedom. For its very existence threatens the rights and freedoms of every person regardless of their beliefs! Those who are foolish enough to think otherwise are merely deceiving themselves. The communists in their murderous effort to establish a totalitarian system of governance ruthlessly carried out measures to control, suppress, and ultimately destroy the rights and freedoms of all people.

The communists knew full well, however, that it would be impossible to strip the people of their rights and freedoms all at once, so they started by attacking and undermining the institutions which represented an immediate threat to their

eternal dictatorship: democracy and religion. But in order to seize power without having the people turn against them, the communists also knew that they would have to plan their steps in the most cunning manner. Thus the communists came up with new laws and policies which they claimed would greatly improve the living standards of the people, but in truth intended only to deprive them of any civil liberties they had. And in the course of a few years communism had gradually taken away almost every liberty from the people until they were no longer even recognized by the State as citizens but only as subjects to be exploited.

There were some who not only seen through the lies and empty promises of the communists, but had the courage to speak out against their criminal representation of the public's interests. Unfortunately, these courageous individuals were, in almost every case, immediately silenced either by execution or imprisonment. The fact is that the communists acted quickly to eliminate anyone who challenged them publicly out of fear that they would open the eyes of others to their criminal activities. More importantly, in an effort to silence any remaining opposition and prevent any chance of the people organizing a revolt against their corrupt government, the communists would eventually create the most infamous prison system in history: the Gulag. The Gulag was a system of forced labor camps which not only served to detain and isolate anyone suspected of being an "enemy of the state" but also as a political tool to terrorize all others into submission.

The goal of the Gulag system, however, was not merely to place restrictions on liberty, but rather to abolish it entirely in service of its ideology: communism. And it did not take long for these labor camps to become grossly overcrowded with millions of people who had been wrongly accused or rather deliberately targeted for execution. There is no doubt that the communists want to purge the world of

everyone who opposes them or their criminal ideology. Numerous survivors of the Gulag system have come forward over the years to reveal how they were arrested for crimes they never committed and sentenced to life in prison without any kind of trial. They then go on to describe in chilling detail of how prisoners were routinely tortured, beaten, and even raped by the communists until they were finally executed or died from exhaustion, starvation, illness, or exposure.

Although we will never know the exact number of people who perished in the Gulag or prison systems just like it, it is estimated to be in the tens of millions. Of course, this estimation does not include the tens of millions who were mercilessly slaughtered out in the *killing fields*, starved to death due to forced-famines, or were executed in the many purges carried out by communist regimes. So if their actions prove anything, it is that the communists do not care about human life at all and consciously reject all human rights. As a matter of fact, when their intimidation tactics and threats of life imprisonment failed to keep people silent, the communists did not hesitate to crush their peaceful protests with brute military force. So let these atrocities serve as a reminder for all of us that “freedom of speech” means nothing if we are too afraid to speak out against tyranny.

Furthermore, a central part of communism was its promise to end the *exploitation of labor* through the abolition of private property. In order to accomplish this, the communists called for every worker to take up arms and rally under their infamous red banner. Not surprisingly, many people turned to communism in hopes that it would finally liberate them from exploitation and improve their poor working conditions, but unfortunately they were only playing right into the hands of treachery itself. For communism did not end the exploitation of labor as promised but only exploited workers even more! The fact is that after

the communists had violently taken control of the means of production they forced people to work longer hours, gave them worse pay than before, and did absolutely nothing to improve their poor working conditions despite having every opportunity to do so.

The communists instead tried to establish a system in which everyone would work according to the principle: "From each according to their ability, to each according to their needs." In other words, the communists wanted every worker to earn the same regardless of their service's importance or how well they worked. What they failed to realize, however, was that people quickly lose motivation when they know others are doing less or easier work and are still earning the same as them. Of course, this not only led to a major decline in work ethic but ultimately destroyed the very incentive for someone to work. How unproductive and completely futile such a system was in its results is best proved by the fact that no one desired to work and laziness became a serious problem in every workplace. One must never forget, however, that words without actions are meaningless.

The fact is that there will always be lazy individuals who will do as little work as possible and still take more than they need as if they are entitled to it. Sure enough, it was the communists themselves who were the first to abandon the very principle they demanded everyone else to abide by, because they believed that, as the so-called "vanguard" of the people, they should be idolized and treated like royalty. History clearly shows how the communist slave drivers sent millions of people to their deaths in forced labor camps, so that they could live in luxury without having to work at all! Contrary to these hypocrites' beliefs, however, workers should earn what they are rightfully entitled to in accordance with their service's importance to society. Likewise, since every person contributes differently

to society, we should make sure that those who work harder and more efficiently are adequately rewarded for their service.

The communists also wanted to establish an economic system based on the "labor theory of value," which asserts that the value of a product can be determined by the number of hours needed to produce it. However, this is demonstrably false. When comparing *generic* products to *brand name* products, generic products are usually equal in quality, sometimes even better than brand name products, but are sold at significantly lower prices. The labor to produce both products is the same, but people are willing to pay more for the brand name products simply because they are considered luxury goods. The labor theory of value also fails to address the issue of *scarcity*, which is when people value certain products more when there is only a *limited supply* of those products available. The labor theory of value is therefore an oversimplification of how we, as people, value things.

The communists claim that they disdain from concealing their views and aims, but in reality all they do is lie and deceive. Not only that but these hypocrites went to extreme lengths to ensure that every important decision they made was carried out secretly and kept hidden from the public. After all, who in the right mind would support the establishment of a dictatorship which openly declares that it will kill them and their family once it came into power? There is no doubt that if even one of them spoke a word of truth than millions upon millions of lives would have been spared from needless bloodshed. Today the danger of government secrecy lies further in the fact that every government depends on some kind of *secret police* force or mass surveillance to spy on the private affairs of people and, if necessary, to eliminate any real or imaginary threats.

And even to this day the fact remains; those in power have always sought to pass laws and policies that favor their interests. The communists are no different than any of today's corrupt politicians. They have done everything possible to deprive the people of their rights and freedoms. Every word and every action, every law and every policy, they have ever supported has in one way or another served their interests. But how can we prevent corrupt politicians from passing laws and policies without our approval? There is only one way, and that is by establishing a responsible system of governance! Only by making it impossible for our officials to conceal their actions can we be made aware of their true intentions. More importantly, such a system will finally give us the ability to judge the performance and honesty of every official in our service. How every criminal in office fears the day that they will be called to account.

It is time we realized that communism will never be able to provide us with an adequate standard of living. Every reform proposed by the communists not only failed to improve the living standards of the people but even their "great leap forward" was actually a huge step backwards. The fact is that this one policy alone resulted in the deaths of tens of millions of people! But this did not stop them from pursuing one disastrous policy after another. The communists will always be compelled, for the sake of their corrupt existence, to attack and undermine the integrity of the State until they are finally able to bring about its destruction. Yet they are completely oblivious that by destroying the State they too are bound to suffer the same fate. The history of communism is a history of repeated violations and crimes against humanity, all having, as its ultimate goal, the establishment of a dictatorship in which neither religion nor democracy can exist in the long run.

Finally, and perhaps most importantly, it must be pointed out again and again that the *red terror* known as communism comes only to kill, steal, and destroy. There will only be grievous repression and death under communist tyranny. And although this fact is well-documented throughout history, today's "real communists" will continue to divert attention away from their past criminal actions in order to fool more and more people into supporting their criminal interests of today. But we should never forget that only a coward hides behind lies and deceit. The communists may have set themselves up as representatives of the people but in reality they represent only themselves. History should have now taught us that communism has never and will never serve the interests of the people, but exclusively the destructive intentions of communist regimes and their league of militant terrorists.

Fascism

Fascism is a political doctrine which strongly supports nationalism and the establishment of a totalitarian system of governance. Fascism quickly grew in popularity and influence by appealing to the national identity of the people and claiming that it would solve the political and economic problems of their country. History clearly shows, however, that the fascists were nothing more than warmongers who tried taking advantage of a deeply divided society. Yet the fascists knew full well that if they were going to succeed in carrying out their schemes and rise to power, they would first have to win over the support of the people. The fascists thus began spreading malicious lies and fake news through their nationalistic propaganda. Indeed, by feeding into both their insecurities and beliefs of national supremacy, these shameful liars were able to convince a large number of people into supporting them.

It should be clear by now, after so many unnecessary conflicts, that nationalism has done nothing except keep the people weak and divided. The fascists were well aware of this, of course, which is why they kept lying so maliciously about other countries and their citizens. They simply could not wait for their own citizens to despise all the other nations of the world as much as them. Although it is true that one can be a nationalist without being a fascist, the same cannot be said the other way around. Fascism is inherently nationalistic and therefore one cannot become a fascist without first conforming to nationalism. The fascists have an especially rigid sense of conformity when it comes to their nationality, because they believe that it somehow gives them a higher expression of

personality. But this so-called “higher personality” is nothing more than the highest expression of tyranny.

Nationalism has created a distorted worldview in which we are forced to accept, from the moment we are born, that our own nations’ actions are good and the actions of other nations are evil. But as we all grow and learn, we come to understand that things are not so simple. However, the fascists used this fabrication to not only foment distrust and enmity between other nations, but also used it to stir up conflicts and violence until tensions between them finally reached their breaking point. It did not take long for peace negotiations and policies of *appeasement* to fall apart forcing many countries to begin preparing for war—total war. But what can they possibly achieve by starting an all-out war? The fascists deliberately fanned the flames of war because they actually believe that it “sets the seal of nobility.” But such a foolish belief will only lead to needless bloodshed. For anyone who seeks conflict is bound to find it.

The fascist warmongers also claim that “all doctrines which postulate peace at all costs are incompatible with fascism.” But have we not been called to pursue the things which make for peace? As the old proverb says, “there is no peace for the wicked,” which means that the fascists will forever be drawn to war like moths to a flame. And do not be fooled into thinking that there can be peace in a world that coexists with fascism. The fascists will never agree to sign a *peace treaty* that will create a lasting peace with other nations. Of course, even if they did agree to sign some kind of peace agreement it would not be to secure a lasting peace, but rather it would merely serve as a means to an end. The fascists will only use such agreements to their advantage, that is, to help give them more time to build up

their military strength or to trick others into believing they are safe from being attacked. But we all know just how quickly hypocrites will go back on their word.

The fact is that the fascists, in their pursuit of power, made every attempt to destroy true democracy and, with it, any chance of world peace. The fascists constantly lied to the public and made it look as if they really wanted peace, but in their hearts they only desired war. However, the end result of an ideology which does not believe in the possibility of peace can only be one thing: no peace, no future. Yet even to this day there are many national leaders who openly speak of peace all the while they are secretly planning for war. Although there is an international law which explicitly bans the production of weapons of mass destruction, many of today's so-called leaders are deliberately ignoring it and are still producing or at least trying to produce these destructive weapons. But governments should be trying to disarm and dispose of these weapons, not trying to produce more of them!

The fascists acted quickly to imprison or even execute anyone who they considered to be a threat to "national security." But here we must not fool ourselves into thinking that the national security of a country aims to protect the safety and well-being of its citizens. No, the truth is that national security aims to protect the filthy and corrupt secrets of those in government. The fact that even our own government keeps many secrets from us should be a great cause for concern. Just look at how many of our own public officials have been caught doing something dishonest or fraudulent while trying to claim it was "for our own protection." But the only thing they were protecting was their own selfish interests! One does not commit treason by betraying their national leader or monarch, but in the moment they put the interests of a tyrant before the interests of the people.

Under fascist tyranny, however, the rights and freedoms of the people were stripped away and they were expected to put the “national interest” of their country above their own. But are the interests of a nation not supposed to reflect the interests of its people? Unfortunately, the interests of a nation have never reflected the interests of its people, but exclusively the criminal interests of those in power. All of history illustrates this with numerous examples. Now, there may have been times when those in power were compelled to act in the interests of the people, but only when public outcry forced them to take action or when they realized it would benefit them in some way regardless of the public’s interests. If a national leader or monarch ever does something good, you can be rest assured that they are not doing it because they have your best interests in mind, but rather for reasons of expediency, that is, for their own sake if they wish to remain in power.

Although the wealthy elite had been quite thoroughly defeated by the fascists, they were allowed to keep their dirty money in return for their sworn allegiance. The wealthy elite did not hesitate for even a single moment to pledge absolute obedience to them, because they feared nothing more than losing their luxurious standard of living. But here we should not sympathize with the wealthy elite because they made their choice to support tyranny—something they have always done and will continue to do. In fact, we can even see their criminal involvement in today’s elections very clearly. The wealthy elite will only give millions of dollars to the political parties and candidates who they know will *return on their investment*. They will not support candidates with opposing beliefs, much less those with strong morals. Therefore the people should be especially wary of rich candidates who promise them freedom, because they themselves are often slaves of corruption.

Under a totalitarian system of governance, however, there are no elections and power is centralized in the hands of a few. As a matter of fact, fascism openly rejects democracy and claims that the “will of the few” should take precedence over the will of the people. The fascists thus did everything they possibly could to undermine, corrupt, and even outright sabotage democracy in order to pave the way for their dictatorship. But they did not stop there. These criminals also carried out acts of violence against other politicians and their families until they finally gave in to their demands and handed over control of the government to them. Now that they had complete control of State authority, the fascists could begin enforcing even more oppressive measures to further demoralize and divide the people. And here we can be certain of one thing: the fascists, like every monarchy in history, want power entirely to themselves.

Fascist dictators are almost identical to kings and queens, because they both believe in their “right of succession.” Indeed, just like those self-entitled rulers, fascist dictators also believe that it is their inherent right to hold power. But just because they claim to have some imaginary right does not make it true. No, the only people who should hold power are those who were elected, through democratic means, because of their performance and honesty. Fascist regimes, however, are very monarchical in their organization; with a self-appointed dictator (monarch) as the head, an inner circle of radicals (nobles) who will obey their leader’s every command as the governing body, and the people (subjects) who will forever remain under the heel of fascism as forced laborers. Therefore be on your guard and remember that a government that is controlled by a tyrant can serve no other interests except theirs.

The most striking proof of this is that every tyrant who has ever been in power not only used the authority of the State to further their own interests but also as an instrument of terror. One of the most terrible examples of *abuse of power* is shown most clearly in the manner in which fascist dictators ruled over their citizens and made them live in constant fear. The harsh reality is that millions of people faced, and are still facing, intense persecution simply because of their ethnicity or religious beliefs. The fascists would constantly harass, threaten, and even assault anyone they considered inferior to themselves. The fact is that tyranny will always resort to intimidation and violence to keep people in line or, more specifically, to keep them in their place. But it was not enough that the fascists themselves violently persecuted different ethnic and religious groups—they also encouraged others to participate in their criminal activities!

Yet there are still some who actually believe, or at least act on the belief, that human rights can exist under a totalitarian system of governance. But they are delusional. There can be no human rights without true democracy—the very thing tyrants hate the most. There was no “freedom of speech” under the fascists’ corrupt government unless you agreed with everything they said and did. Anyone who had the courage to publicly speak out against their criminal actions would often be arrested in the middle of the night and taken away for questioning. Unfortunately, these courageous individuals were, in most cases, never seen or heard from again. The fact is that the fascists created and depended on a massive *secret police* force to not only spy on people but to eliminate any opposition as quickly and quietly as possible. Therefore let this be a reminder that it is the responsibility of the people to always keep a watchful eye on those in government or else the day may come when they realize the government is watching them.

Fascism does not care about what is right or wrong, but entirely in one's absolute obedience to the *chain of command*. Fascist dictators therefore only gave power to those who obeyed everything they were told to do regardless of morality. Any soldiers who voiced their concerns about certain orders would be immediately removed from their positions and replaced with even more ruthless individuals who were willing to carry out the task no matter how unethical it was. Of course, there were thousands of good soldiers who absolutely refused to obey the criminal orders of their superiors but they were, in almost every case, executed for insubordination. Thus over the course of time soldiers became worse and worse until only the most blood-thirsty and power-hungry remained. History shows just how cruel soldiers can become when they blindly follow orders.

One need only look at the millions upon millions of victims who perished in forced labor camps by those "just following orders." The fact is that millions of innocent people were arrested and sent to forced labor camps to be worked to death. What is even more disturbing about these labor camps is that they were designed for pointless and humiliating labor in which prisoners were forced to work under the worst conditions without proper equipment, clothing, nourishment, or rest. It should be pointed out that genocides against ethnic or religious groups were not invented by fascism, because there have been many *ethnic cleansings* throughout history—such atrocities are one of the terrible consequences of nationalism. But there is no denying that it was the fascists who planned and carried out the most infamous state-sponsored genocide in all of history. The deliberate and systematic mass murder of millions of people may not have been invented by the fascists but it was nearly perfected by them.

We see their *crimes against humanity* before our eyes, and whoever consciously argues that they are not guilty of committing them must either be a fascist themselves or someone just as morally corrupt as them. The fascists' "extermination through labor" revealed just how far they would go to cleanse the world of all those they considered inferior to themselves. But how can we prevent another state-sponsored genocide from happening? There is only one way for us to prevent such atrocities, and that is by establishing a responsible system of governance. The fact is that state-sponsored genocides do not happen spontaneously, but actually require months, even years, of planning before they can be carried out. So if we allow those in power to continue working in secrecy, we can never be certain of what they are planning behind closed doors—surely it is nothing good. A responsible system of governance, however, would put an end to government secrecy by forcing public officials to work openly.

Much as we all today recognize the need for strong leadership—that quality is unfortunately not found among any of today's so-called leaders. What makes this exceptionally clear is how disconnected they all are with what their citizens actually need. So while many of today's politicians are living in luxury and can afford anything they want, their own citizens are living in poverty and cannot even afford to pay for some of their basic needs. But is it not the responsibility of the government to provide its citizens with an adequate standard of living? As previously stated, no government can provide its citizens with an adequate standard of living when those in office are constantly abusing their positions for personal gain. Corrupt officials are well aware that their criminal actions are costing people their lives, but they do not care as long as they get what they want—that is, a luxurious standard of living at our expense!

Therefore we must remain vigilant and watch our officials very closely, knowing wherever they go to discuss public affairs in private, nothing is found but a den of thieves and criminals. For where there is secrecy in government, there will also be corruption and evil of every kind. Despite this fact, those in office want us to believe that they are acting on our behalf, but the truth is that they are actually acting behind our backs. They want power, but not the responsibility that comes with it. Of course, every criminal in office will claim that they have done nothing wrong and are not guilty of anything. And right there is the biggest problem! We have no idea what they are actually doing because they refuse to work openly. However, by deliberately hiding their activities from us it exposes them for the crooked and deceitful criminals they really are.

Furthermore, if they were going to improve the economic conditions of their country, the fascists knew that they would not only have to maintain its existing industries but help build them up. Corporations were therefore allowed to continue operating provided that they supported the fascists' economic and military goals. But what most people do not know is that the fascists themselves either owned or were heavily invested in these corporations. The fact is that the fascists favored corporate ownership, because they knew that corporations could not only be easily manipulated but would do just about anything for profit. History offers us conclusive evidence that corporations are just as guilty as the fascists for the exploitation and mass murder of millions of *prisoners of war*. These disgraceful corporations knew exactly what they were doing when they forced millions of men, women, and children to work against their will and ultimately to their deaths.

Some would argue that most of the corporations that participated in and profited from forced labor have been abolished, which is true, but even they cannot

deny that some of them do in fact still exist. The unfortunate truth, however, is that they have not changed at all. Almost every corporation today is guilty of breaking the law in some way—whether it be exploiting workers, tax evasion, interfering with elections, or even war profiteering. But a corporation should not be allowed to profit off of the suffering of others! Indeed, corporations should not be allowed to profit from their criminal actions, but in reality they are making billions of dollars every year doing just that. The greatest shame of all, however, is that the corporations that profited the most from forced labor not only got away with it but absolutely refuse to give the victims or their families compensation. There is no doubt that fascism should have been called corporatism because it is really just a merger of State and corporate power.

Nevertheless, if the fascists were alone in this world society would merely break down into warmongering factions all fighting with one another for supremacy. What they fail to realize, however, is that by deliberately starting wars with other countries they will only bring about the destruction of everything and everyone. But when will we learn that our strength does not come from our nationality but from our solidarity as one people? Just imagine what can be achieved through global economic integration in which the needs of one province are supported by all the other provinces. But this will never happen because those in power are incapable of empathizing with others. They pretend to work in our interests, but they do not care about you or your family at all. No, these tyrants would rather see the world burn before they ever consider helping their own citizens, let alone those from other countries.

The fascists also had no interest in helping other countries or even their own citizens for that matter. The only thing the fascists really wanted was to strip the

people, especially those they despised, of everything they owned and keep it for themselves. The best proof of this is the fact that they began forcing people to hand over their personal property while claiming that it was in the best interests of the nation. But this was nothing more than a blatant lie to steal the personal possessions of the people. There were some who refused to hand over their personal property to the fascists, but their refusal to capitulate almost always resulted in them getting brutally beaten, or even murdered, in front of others. There are numerous historical accounts which bear witness to this. Of course, there are still many criminals today, both in and out of office, who want to steal what we have, but nothing will ever satisfy their bottomless greed.

So let us conclude by saying that if fascism were to ever rise again it would certainly mean the downfall of society. For the fascists no longer aim to wage war with the rest of the world, but instead aim for its complete destruction by any and all means. For this reason, we must always be prepared to combat fascism wherever it may appear for the protection and safety of all people regardless of their ethnicity or religious beliefs. However, as long as nationalism exists there will always be the possibility that fascism can return—it was the nationalist ideology which, to a great extent, laid the foundation for the fascist doctrine after all. Therefore, if we are to truly prevent fascism from returning, then, we must collectively overcome the nationalistic fabrication which is tearing us apart. It is time that we put aside our differences and focused on the things that will help bring us together.

There is no doubt that the greatest opposition to world peace will come from today's so-called leaders who, instead of working together, strive to destroy each other. But they are also the same criminals who would send our children to fight

their wars while they leisurely sit back and profit from them. Every war that has ever been fought between nations serves as proof of that. More importantly, there are still many people today who continue to twist and distort the truth by claiming that humanity is made up of different races, but do not listen to their shameful lies because there is only one race—the human race. However, no supremacist will ever admit that we are all the same, because they would rather live in denial than give up their crooked beliefs. If there is one thing we can learn from this, it is that there is nothing universal about nationalism aside from the fact that it keeps us universally divided.

True Democratic System

Let us imagine what our government would be like if every public official was a graduate of a four years' course of political education. Now, imagine what our government could accomplish if these educated officials—both collectively and individually—put their political knowledge to use. This conceptualization presents to the mind such a government that has never existed in human history. It is generally believed that public office is a position which should only be held by those who have been properly educated in politics. Yet our government is made up very largely of individuals who know little of politics—individuals without any political education and even some without any political intelligence. These are officials who know literally nothing of responsibility and nothing of good governance. But this raises an important question, how did individuals with such little knowledge of politics get into public office?

Under our present system, candidates for public office do not have to meet any educational requirements. Candidates do have to meet other kinds of requirements such as age or citizenship, but these requirements do not help us determine if they have any knowledge of politics. Instead, we depend on candidates to run long and costly political campaigns to show us what they intend to do if elected, but today's campaigns are built on lies and false promises. Political campaigns have never truly shown us if a particular candidate is suitable for public office; campaigns merely show us what candidates are willing to say to get into office. Regardless of who is elected, we can only hope that they will fulfill their responsibilities to us once they are in office. But how can officials fulfill their

responsibilities to the people when most of them are unqualified for the very positions they hold?

We are living in an era where qualifications have become increasingly important, and rightfully so. Becoming a doctor, for example, takes years of education and training. Not everyone is suitable to become a doctor and even those who show potential must still go through rigorous testing to find out if they are qualified for the position. We have created educational requirements for those who wish to become doctors, yet we have not created any educational requirements for those who wish to become public officials. How, then, can we be certain that an individual with no political education will be responsible enough to hold a position in office? The truth is that we have no guarantee that an individual will act responsibly once in office. The only thing we can be certain about is that they will be removed from office at the end of their term and we will be forced into yet another cycle of costly elections.

However, with these unending elections, it is impossible for any official to learn their work when they will be forced out of office in just a few years. But even this is not the main evil. The main evil of the electoral system is the tyranny of the wealthy elite whom succeed in winning almost every election through force or fraud. We have been acting on the belief that by continually replacing our public officials that we have secured their responsibility to us, but we will never secure their responsibility to us this way. No, we must first instill a sense of responsibility in the individual if we are to secure their responsibility to the people. But how are we to do such a thing? Just as we have created a program for preparing individuals to become good doctors dedicated to saving lives, we need to create a program for preparing individuals to become good officials dedicated to serving the people.

It takes years of education and training for someone to become a doctor, so why should it be any different for someone who wishes to become a public official? Public office is a position which should only be held by someone who has proven to be responsible, and what better way for someone to prove they are responsible than by graduating from a four years' course of political education. Would you place your life or your child's life in the hands of someone with no medical knowledge? No, absolutely not. Likewise, should we place our trust—our future in the hands of someone with no political knowledge? There is no good reason why any of our esteemed universities or colleges should not have a program dedicated exclusively to politics or, more specifically, the public service. A program such as this would ensure that every candidate for public office has attained, at the very least, a basic understanding of politics.

It can be argued that knowledge does not guarantee honesty; that is, we have no guarantee that when we increase an individual's knowledge that we will increase their honesty. Although it is true that education by itself will not be able to turn someone who is morally corrupt into an honest person, we can however judge their honesty through supervision. We can establish a system that regulates and supervises the actions of our public officials, so that they will be judged according to what they say and do. Should an official fail to fulfill their responsibilities or be caught abusing their position they will be immediately removed from office in order to allow another person to take their place. Nevertheless, the purpose of a four years' course of political education is to instill knowledge in the minds of future officials, so that they will be able to perform their duties as efficiently and effectively as possible.

Under our present system, however, we are not giving our officials enough time to learn their work so that they can serve us responsibly. There is no question that it takes time for an individual to learn their work, to do their work, and to get adjusted to their work routine. We do not expect an individual to become a doctor in a year or even a few years, nor do we expect them, after years of education and training, to resign from their position after just a short amount of time. Yet by the time an official has finally learned their work their term will be almost over and, with their term coming to an end, they will have to neglect their responsibilities in order to focus on being re-elected. But if they cannot be re-elected, we can only hope that everything they accomplished while in office will not be destroyed by the next person to take their place.

We are continually replacing our public officials with new ones because we believe that by doing so we are securing their responsibility to us. But all we are actually doing is forcing our most experienced officials out of office and replacing them with new individuals who often have little to no experience of politics. However, if we are to truly secure their responsibility to us then we must first ensure that every candidate has been properly educated in politics before they can serve in office. More importantly, once they are in office we must ensure that they will be properly supervised and held accountable for their actions. Therefore, let those who wish to become public officials go through four years of rigorous testing to prove to us they can be trusted with a position of power. After all, power should only be entrusted to those who have proven themselves responsible enough to hold it.

But this raises two very important questions, how should the program be organized? And who should be accepted into the program? First of all, the program

should be organized in such a way that it gradually but firmly instills knowledge in the mind of the individual. But the program, in its work of education, also has to put great emphasis on the training of responsibility. By an education in this direction, many of the evils which are rooted in our government, can be, if not entirely abolished, at least greatly reduced. It is important that the program not only tests one's knowledge but also their performance. Therefore the program should be divided into two phases in order to provide them with a more comprehensive education. Dividing the program into different phases would, of course, also provide us with a more thorough evaluation of the individual.

As for who should be accepted into the program, there are a few things that must be addressed. First, it goes without saying that no one wants a criminal to be elected to a position of office. Therefore, no individual who has been convicted of a crime will be allowed to apply to the program—and should the individual be convicted of a crime at any time during the program they are to be immediately expelled. Second, it is only sensible to accept individuals who are at least 18 years of age and have successfully completed Secondary Education into the program. Third, an examination committee, made up of public advocates, should be established to ensure that the fate of the individual is not decided by one person. There is no doubt that an educational program built around just these three requirements would have not only secured the people with a more responsible government, but would have actually prevented many of the criminals who are currently in the public service from being elected in the first place!

Public Services Program

The Public Services Program will thus give a solid four years' course of political education, but in order for an individual to be accepted into, and successfully complete, this program they must:

1. Be at least 18 years of age, have successfully completed Secondary Education, and not have any criminal convictions

Individuals must be at least 18 years of age and have successfully completed Secondary Education before they can apply to the Public Services Program. Individuals with any criminal convictions will not be allowed to apply to the program and being convicted of a crime at any time during the program will result in their immediate expulsion.

2. Apply to the Public Services Program

The Public Services Program is a four year program that will put individuals through a rigorous evaluation process in order to determine if they are suitable to become public officials. The Public Services Program consists of two phases which are two years each in length: Education and Training.

3. Successfully complete the Educational Phase

The Educational Phase will take place at select universities and colleges where individuals will be introduced to key political concepts and learn about the fundamental responsibilities of the public service. Individuals will be graded fairly on their assigned work and those who are successful in

completing this phase of the program will then be able to proceed to the training phase.

4. Successfully complete the Training Phase

The Training Phase will begin immediately after the individual has successfully completed the educational phase of the program. In this phase, the individual will be placed under the direct guidance of a public official who will help them develop the necessary skills to be proficient in the public service. Of course, appropriate steps should be taken to ensure that the individual is not placed under a public official who they are either related to or very close friends with. Individuals will remain with their appointed officials for two years during which their performance will be rigorously tested and evaluated. Upon the individual's completion of this phase, their appointed official will be expected to submit a detailed evaluation of their performance to an examination committee, made up of public advocates, which will ultimately decide if the individual has met the requirements to graduate from the program.

5. Be officially recognized by an Examination Committee as having met the requirements for graduation

After successfully completing both phases of the program, the individual will then be called to account before an examination committee which will determine, by thorough evaluation, if they have (1) fully met the requirements for graduation and are ready for the public service; (2) not yet met the requirements for graduation but can choose to remain in the program with the aim of improving their performance or knowledge in order to graduate upon their next evaluation; or (3) failed to meet the

requirements to graduate due to being completely irresponsible or were caught in a dishonest or fraudulent act and therefore are unqualified to serve in office.

The program outlined here is, of course, only intended to provide us with a basic framework of how it should be organized and some of the requirements every individual should meet before they can apply to it.

Process of Election

The True Democratic System aims to revolutionize the present system of governance with the purpose of providing the people with a more free and fair process of election. However, in order to accomplish this, the present system of governance will have to go through significant changes, first and foremost, by replacing the corrupted electoral system with a responsible system. Today's political parties and politicians will, of course, declare the sharpest opposition to any such changes. For they know better than anyone else what these changes will mean for their own future. They know a responsible system will force them for once in their lives to be honest and, more importantly, be held accountable for their actions. The abuse of entrusted power for personal gain poses one of the greatest threats to true democracy. Yet we have no way of knowing for sure who is responsible for the wrong working of public affairs.

Corruption is an evil which not only continues to grow but in fact thrives under the present system of governance. As said, it is nearly impossible for us to find out who is responsible for the wrong working of public affairs because we are continually replacing our public officials instead of actually fixing the problem—that is, the electoral system itself! We do not need to keep replacing our officials. No, what we need is for every official to be properly supervised from the beginning of their time in office right to the end. Yet there are still some who believe that the electoral system gives them freedom of choice. But they are mistaken. The fact is that the so-called “majority rule” has been overruled by a wealthy minority. The wealthy elite have been able to maintain the illusion of democracy through rigged

elections and useless term limits which do nothing more than replace one tyrant with another.

Let no public official fool you by saying that they must work in secrecy in order to protect the interests of the people. The real purpose of government secrecy can only be a criminal one. In fact, many of our public officials admit that our government has become broken and corrupt, but they no longer have the courage to stand up for what is right. Knowing just how corrupt our government was becoming should have led to the greatest efforts to securing a new system of governance, so that the performance and honesty of every official could be judged accordingly. But this was not done. Now, our government is made up very largely of individuals who refuse to accept any kind of responsibility and hate the honest work of others. Thus what these incompetent officials cannot build themselves they consciously try to destroy. They do everything they can to undermine all progress so that they can remain in power.

It should come as no surprise as to why many of our public officials have been nothing short of a disgrace under the present system. Since much of what they do is kept hidden from us, our officials can do as they please without having to worry about any kind of consequences for their actions. But if the actions of our public officials are kept hidden from us how can we determine their honesty? We can determine the honesty of every official in our service by following this simple principle: If they refuse to work openly, they are untrustworthy. If they claim to be trustworthy, let them prove it by working openly. By following this principle alone we can judge the performance and honesty of every official very easily. Of course, the only officials who will oppose this principle are the ones who have something to hide. Any official who deliberately hides their activities from the public does so for

one of two reasons: they either want to evade personal responsibility or want to conceal their criminal actions.

However, it is time we stood our ground with these so-called public officials and exposed them for what they really are—political profiteers who are nothing but lazy and incompetent. We, the people, are at liberty to call any official to account, to remove them from office if they have failed in their responsibilities or were caught abusing their position, and to replace them with whoever we so choose. No government has the authority to infringe on or deprive us of this power or any of our other constitutional rights. But really the lowest thing about all of this is the fact that it is often our very own government that not only fails to hold corrupt officials accountable but also gives them full legal immunity for their criminal actions! So the question must be asked, if it is the government's duty to protect the people from corrupt officials, whose duty is it to protect the people from a corrupt government?

The success of any system depends almost entirely on responsibility; that is, we depend on workers to fulfill all of their responsibilities. But our present system does not and cannot guarantee that our public officials will fulfill any of their responsibilities. This is because our present system has no way of enforcing responsibility. How, then, can we make sure that our public officials will continue working in our service instead of in the service of money? The truth is that no matter how well responsibility is enforced there will always be the possibility that an official will try to abuse their position for personal gain. Therefore the punishment for any dishonest or fraudulent act should not only result in their immediate removal from office but they should also be stripped of everything they own. Only in this way can we have some certainty that every public official will either serve by example or be made into one.

It is said that political campaigns give candidates for public office a platform to speak, but why not let their reputations speak for themselves? Surely, an individual who has completed a four years' course of political education is more suitable to be in office than someone without any education or training at all. Under the present system, however, there are no educational requirements needed for someone to become a public official, so it is not surprising that an overwhelming amount of people with very little political knowledge try getting into office every election. And, in many cases, they do. So why do we expect doctors, for example, to go through years of rigorous testing but not public officials? Doctors are individuals whom most of us do not know personally, but we place a great amount of trust in them because of their years of medical knowledge.

The same cannot be said, however, for many of our public officials who have proven again and again that they are incapable of doing even the simplest of tasks. Despite all evidence showing their complete lack of political intelligence they still pretend like they understand and know everything better. But enough of this! No individual should be allowed to become a public official unless they have been properly educated in politics. Candidates for public office should have at least some political knowledge before they are placed in positions they have no understanding of. Education is an instrument—a necessary instrument—which we use to not only learn and share knowledge with each other but also advance society as a whole. Yet it is an instrument which has been mostly forgotten about or rather ignored when it comes to the public service. Therefore let us use this remarkable instrument to establish a program that will put individuals through a rigorous evaluation process to determine if they are suitable to become public officials.

Corruption is spreading more and more under the present system of governance despite all efforts to combat it. But what are politicians doing to fight corruption? The truth is that most of them are doing as little as possible because they believe that nothing can be done to drive out this evil. Yet they are wrong in every possible way except in their own belief—that they themselves are incapable of doing anything because they are the main reason why everything is in turmoil! They, in their depravity, are guilty of pursuing the most destructive policies without any concern for the protection, safety, prosperity, or happiness of the people. But it is about time that we held them accountable for their actions. Only through our determination and perseverance will we see that these criminals are removed from our service for good. Thus, if we are to secure a responsible system of governance now and for all time we must:

- (1) *Let every political act be carried out openly.* No official who is acting on behalf of the people should be allowed to work in secrecy. Every decision they make should be carried out openly for all to see, so that they can be properly supervised and held accountable for their actions
- (2) *Let the process of election only be used when there are vacancies in office.* The election process should not be used continually, year after year, in a fruitless attempt to secure responsibility but only when there are vacancies in office.
- (3) *Let only individuals who have graduated from a four years' course of political education be eligible for public office.* In principle, the people should evaluate each candidate for public office, not according to the family they

come from or how much wealth they possess, but according to their performance and honesty.

(4) *Let the vote of every individual be open.* Secret voting leads to election fraud. Therefore no individual should be given the right to vote if they do not have the courage to have their vote known.

(5) *Let no official who has failed in their responsibilities or was caught abusing their position remain in office.* Under no circumstances should an official who has failed in their responsibilities or was caught in a dishonest or fraudulent act be allowed to remain in office. They should be immediately removed from office, so that another person can take their place.

Our capacity for world peace is certainly burdened today by the idea of nationalism, but most heavily by those who perpetuate this destructive fabrication. National leaders have done everything in their power to not only foment distrust and enmity between nations, but, if possible, to intensify it. Since their very positions depend on maintaining the nationalistic fabrication, national leaders will continue to pretend as if they are acting in the “national interest” of their country when it is actually just a reflection of their own selfish interests. The State of Sovereignty, however, knows no nationalities but respectively only citizens with equal rights and, accordingly, equal responsibilities. Above all, Sovereignism sees its aim, not in the re-establishment of an older form of government, but in the creation of a new one that will actually provide every person with an adequate standard of living.

We must bear in mind, however, that the basic elements of this doctrine should serve as building stones to establishing a responsible system of governance. They should not be considered, like some constitutions, as a matter of eternal principle. No, laws and policies must be progressive if they are to always reflect the will of the people. Therefore this new system of governance should be improved, corrected, and revised whenever necessary. Otherwise this new system can in the course of time become just as corrupt and stagnant as the present one. More importantly, what must guide us today is the fundamental truth that nothing will change unless we, as a people, collectively take action. Let the enemies of peace and solidarity witness us unite as one people, under one flag, for one purpose in this global movement—a Sovereigntist movement. Democracy does not exist in the hands of one person alone, but in the hearts and minds of the people as a whole.

Political Factionalism

History shows that a government can either be a blessing or a curse. For a responsible government will preserve life, liberty, and happiness, but a corrupt government will perpetuate death, tyranny, and misery. No government is perfect and they never will be, because every government is made up of imperfect people who are bound to make mistakes. Therefore our focus should not be on creating a perfect government, which is in and of itself an impossible task, but instead on creating a program that will instill knowledge in the minds of future officials. Only by electing better educated officials will we, in the process, create a better government. Unfortunately, it is clear that many of our public officials are not only set in their ways but actually have no interest in learning from their mistakes. These are incompetent officials who look for any miserable excuse or someone else to blame instead of taking responsibility for their actions—a problem which is made worse by political factionalism.

Although there may have been a time when political parties worked together in the interests of the people, the same cannot be said for today's competing factions who are only working in their own filthy interests. But let there be no misunderstanding—political opposition can be a good thing as long as it does not turn the public service into a pointless competition. Politicians who participate in political debates can motivate others to improve their understanding of politics or encourage them to ask questions about the effectiveness of certain laws and policies. In fact, one of the best ways to deal with ineffective or outdated laws and policies is to bring them to the public's attention, so that the government can work closer with the people in order to either repeal or amend them. Today's political

debates, however, have degenerated into nothing more than shouting matches with the biggest loudmouths often drowning out any meaningful discussion.

There is no doubt that if our political parties and politicians spent as much time working together as they do fighting amongst themselves, our government would not be in such a terrible state and we would not be having this discussion. Whether they are aware of this or not is beside the point, by constantly trying to undermine each other these political factions are actually undermining all of government. After all, who can honestly place their trust in the government when their own public officials do not even trust each other? Every political party claims that they can do what the other cannot, but the truth is that none of them are capable of doing anything right. The only thing these political factions have learned to do well is how to divert the public's attention away from themselves and their criminal activities. The fact is that all of them have become corrupted and have all committed at least one crime that should have resulted in their immediate abolishment.

Yet here they are today, even wealthier than before, and still getting away with the same crimes. But the most disgraceful thing about these political factions is just how far they are willing to go to protect criminals. Political parties know very well that corrupt officials within their party will hurt their public image if they are ever discovered, so they will go to extreme lengths to cover up their crimes in hopes that they will never be brought to light or, at the very least, only after they have finished their time in office. But who is the bigger criminal, the corrupt officials who are destroying the government or those who are consciously protecting them? Now, there are some people who may consider overlooking the criminal actions of a candidate for public office simply because they belong to the political party of

their choice. But why are we electing candidates based on their affiliation and not their reputation?

A criminal is still a criminal regardless of their political affiliation. More importantly, a crime committed by a public official is far worse than a crime committed by a citizen, because it gives people the impression that every official in government is corrupt. But how many times will we allow the actions of one bad official destroy the good works of all the others? Under no circumstances should an official who was caught abusing their position be allowed to go unpunished. But there seems to be a double standard when it comes to punishing common criminals compared to corrupt officials. We will send a common criminal to prison for years over a misdemeanor, but for some mysterious reason we will allow a corrupt official to leave office without any convictions to mark their dishonesty. Surely, the punishment for a corrupt official should be even more severe; they should be stripped of everything they own!

As we have come to realize, laws and policies that are effective now can become ineffective in time. Therefore it is in the best interests of both the *State and Citizen* to find out which ones are no longer useful and to deal with them accordingly. Laws and policies should, of course, only be changed after much thought and consideration have proven that it is truly necessary. However, it has become nearly impossible for any new laws and policies to be passed, because political factions are fighting over everything and at every turn. The fact is that our government is being torn apart from the inside by officials who are constantly criticizing the work of others without ever being productive themselves. These political factions will never work together or agree on anything, so it is not surprising that people are starting to lose confidence in the government. Political

parties may have represented something in the past, but now they merely represent opposite ends of a spectrum.

Today's political parties do not understand, or rather they do not want to understand, that their very existence has created a schism within the government. They have become so blind and conceited that they would rather stir up a civil war before they ever considered working together. As a matter of fact, these political factions enjoy creating and reinforcing bitter feuds, because such infighting distracts the public from what they are actually doing—which is nothing at all! Since these political parties are incapable of working together, they are satisfied with preserving the existing conditions of society even as they become less sustainable. But the only reason they are so pleased with themselves is because they are enjoying a luxurious standard of living. Political parties have become wealthier each year, and the people have become poorer because of it. So the only remaining question is: do we consider our political parties to be a blessing or a curse?



Sovereignism

“The history of humanity is the history of supremacy.”

The Supremacy Complex

Within every society, there are conflicts between the supremacists and the servients; to put it simply, a Supremacy Complex. These conflicts exist because the supremacists believe they are superior to everyone else and will do everything in their power to maintain their false sense of supremacy. The servients, on the other hand, are those who are forced, in one way or another, to accept inferior positions in society. Every conflict that has ever existed, including the rise and fall of Great Empires, can be linked back to the Supremacy Complex. Throughout history, there have always been individuals who have tried to satisfy their sense of superiority; they do so for various reasons and through various means, but always with the sole desire to have and maintain supremacy over others. A supremacist can be anyone, for example, murderers, thieves, and rapists are all guilty of supremacy.

History has clearly shown, however, that monarchs are the worst kind of supremacists for they will do anything to remain in power and will gladly go to war before they even consider peacefully abdicating. It is generally believed that the era of kings and queens is over, but this is absolutely false because monarchies still exist and have retained a significant amount of power and influence. The truth is that there are still countries that have constitutions that give monarchs exclusive rights and privileges. But a constitution should never give exclusive rights and privileges to someone simply because they claim to be "royalty." No person should be given exclusive constitutional rights except under rare circumstances and with the approval of the people. Constitutions which empower monarchies are not only undemocratic but make it impossible to establish universal equality.

It should also be mentioned that not a single king or queen in history who was on the throne has ever supported a public official who demanded their abdication. No, they will never give up their positions of wealth and power willingly. Not long ago, these tyrants would have publicly tortured and executed anyone who even thought of challenging their authority—something they would still be doing today if they could get away with it. Since monarchs can no longer enjoy sadistically killing people out of fear that it may lead to a massive revolt and ultimately their demise, their only choice now is to support candidates for public office who are willing to do their bidding or, at the very least, share control of the government. However, this is an exceptionally cunning scheme considering that it not only allows them to remain in power but also, when necessary, shift the blame to anyone else but themselves when the people become dissatisfied with the government.

So why are many national governments still forcing their citizens to live under monarchical tyranny? The answer is because most national leaders are willing to compromise with monarchies rather than abolish them. It is true that many of today's national leaders possess far more wealth and power than any king or queen ever has, but just like these self-entitled rulers they too will also do anything to remain in power. Some would argue that national leaders cannot be compared to monarchs. But do not be mistaken for their similarities are far greater than their differences. National leaders are residing in grandiose "presidential palaces" while their citizens are homeless, they are eating the most sumptuous meals while their citizens are starving, and they are living in luxury while their citizens are living in poverty. Every national leader claims that they care about their citizens, but it is evidently clear that they only care about themselves.

Executive office is a position which is supposed to be accountable to the public, but national leaders are almost always working in secrecy. The fact is that these so-called leaders are constantly making statewide decisions, as well as passing laws and policies, without the approval of the people. However, by excluding us from such decisions, they are actually undermining our ability to judge their performance and honesty. The electoral system may have put an end to the tyranny of succession that monarchies had established for themselves, but now a new form of tyranny has emerged in which the same wealthy families and circle of friends keep getting elected and re-elected. Almost every candidate for executive office is either related to or a very close friend of a former head of state. There is no doubt that today's democratic governments have become nothing more than oligarchies.

We come, then, to the conclusion that if the people are to end monarchical supremacy, once and for all, they must have a revolution—a Global Revolution. In reality, the welfare of the rich casually depends on the deprivations of the poor. The only reason national leaders and monarchies are able to live in luxury is because they depend on the people to continue paying steep taxes to them. The present system of taxation thus serves no other purpose than to advance their interests at your expense. Public officials who are honest and perform their duties well should, of course, be adequately rewarded for their service, but under no circumstances should their positions be used to justify a luxurious standard of living while everyone else struggles to survive. Each and every person deserves an adequate standard of living, but there can be no equality now or in the future if past inequalities continue to exist.

Global Revolution

The Global Revolution aims to unite humanity in a global effort to overthrow supremacy and establish the State of Sovereignty. The only possibility of us accomplishing this, however, is if we succeed in carrying out the twenty demands listed below. The warning signs are clear and we are running out of time. We must act soon if we are to stop corruption and tyranny from destroying what is left of true democracy... our future depends on it.

- (1) *All humans are to be recognized and treated as equals.* The State of Sovereignty believes that all humans are equal regardless of their sex, ethnicity, religion, language, age, etc. and will therefore ensure that every human is born with the same incontestable, inalienable, and infeasible rights.

- (2) *The dissolve of all nations so that there can be a single and indivisible Sovereign State.* When we speak of the difficulty, the impossibility, of getting the people to agree on a new system of governance, we often forget that there have been many different forms of government throughout history. These governments were not created spontaneously or arbitrarily, but were the consequences of historical conditions that required the collective and revolutionary action of the people in order to bring about their existence. Now, under the present conditions, revolution has not only become possible but absolutely necessary. Corruption has become more than just an inherent part of our government; it is an evil which has become an inherent part of our society. Politicians are always promising change, but they have no intentions of changing anything. Never will any of these politicians willingly change or improve the government in any way.

The fact is that most politicians have sold themselves to the interests of the wealthy elite and therefore aim only to preserve the existing conditions of society. Nevertheless, almost every significant change or improvement to government was not achieved by the efforts of one individual but in fact by the collective efforts of the people. And together we can change the present system of governance for there is power in numbers but only if they are acting as one. Unfortunately, never in our history have we, as a people, been as divided as we are now. Nationalism, which is the greatest fabrication in history, has firmly embedded the idea that we are somehow inherently different, but this is absolutely false for we are all the same—each and every one of us is human. We are one people with common thoughts, feelings, and interests. Regardless of this fact, however, the nationalist ideology has done nothing but turn us against each other. One need only look at the history of nationalism, and one will easily see the terrible consequences this fabrication has had on the strength and unity of the people as a whole. Indeed, the nationalist ideology only serves to keep us weak and divided, but in a world growing ever more interdependent we must learn to work together. Of course, those who are benefiting from conflict and disunity intend to keep the nationalistic fabrication going indefinitely. But we must not allow these tyrants to destroy our humanity. The time has come for us to unite against those who want to divide us and, through our solidarity, create a single and indivisible Sovereign State. Ultimately, the people will realize that they have the collective power to overthrow the wealthy elite and, with them, the existing conditions of society.

- (3) *All humans have the right to free educational services.* Tyrants despise the educated, not just because they ask questions, but because they challenge

answers. Tyrants do not want well-educated people capable of critical thinking. No, what they want is for people to passively accept how things are—just as they are now! Every year the costs associated with higher education keep going up, but the reality is that most people cannot afford to pay their tuition fees unless they take on massive student loans. However, education is a powerful instrument necessary for the betterment of society and should not depend on the income of the individual. For this reason, the State will establish an educational system in which every person is provided with a free education. It is important that all educational services are made free, so that every person has an equal opportunity to learn and not just those who can afford it.

- (4) *All humans have the right to free medical services.* Millions of people are dying from various medical conditions each year, not because their conditions are untreatable, but because they do not have access to the medical services they desperately need. Since many of today's life-saving treatments are privately owned and controlled by corporations, they are often deliberately kept from those who need them most. These corporations are, of course, only acting on behalf of the wealthy elite who are always trying to maximize their profit even if it is at the expense of others. The fact is that the wealthy elite could be saving millions of lives if they truly wanted to, but this will never happen because their obsession for money has blinded them from the collective good. But there is no reason for this unnecessary suffering to continue, because medical treatments should be readily available to those who need them regardless of cost. For this purpose, the State will establish an all-inclusive healthcare system that will provide free medical services for all people, so that they can receive the medical care they need for their health and well-being.

(5) *All humans have the right to free legal services.* Human rights are inalienable and therefore they should not be taken away, except in specific situations and according to due process (e.g., the right to liberty could be restricted if an individual is found guilty of a crime by a court of law). The justice system has a duty to uphold the law, but we are living in an era where laws have become meaningless and unenforceable in court. Corruption has won and justice has lost. The reality is more and more people are afforded only a show trial with an outcome that has already been predetermined. There is no such thing as a fair trial. The innocent are being wrongfully convicted and the guilty are receiving lesser sentences, or worse, being allowed to go free without any convictions. This is absolutely inexcusable and must be dealt with immediately, but those who are working in the present justice system refuse to do anything about it. For this reason, the State of Sovereignty intends to develop and implement new strategies that will provide a more efficient, effective, and equitable justice system. The people want a justice system that will impose lesser sentences on first-time offenders and non-violent crimes, and harsher sentences on repeat offenders and violent crimes. More importantly, the people want a justice system that will prevent the rich from buying justice on their own terms. One must always remember that by showing partiality to the guilty they are ultimately depriving the innocent of justice.

(6) *All humans receive pay and employment equity. Services that are functionally more important than others or require more skills to be performed effectively are to be adequately rewarded.* The reality is that most people are working for some meager wage with no benefits or job security, but these poverty-level positions

make it impossible for them to secure an adequate standard of living. Multi-billion dollar corporations are not only refusing to pay workers a fair wage, but they are also deliberately outsourcing jobs to the poorest and most corrupt countries in order to pay workers even less! But workers deserve better than this—we all deserve better than this. For this purpose, the State will establish a new system that will protect workers from corporate exploitation and will ensure that each of them earns what they are rightfully entitled to in accordance with their service's importance to society. Under this new system, services that are functionally more important than others or require more skills to be performed effectively will be adequately rewarded. Rewards can, for example, range from financial incentives to retirement benefits. Above all, the State will ensure that every worker receives equal pay for equal work, as well as equal employment opportunities.

- (7) *All the means of transport (railways, roads, ships, aircraft, etc.) are to be taken over by the State. They will become the property of the State and all humans are to have free access to land-based transport.* The means of transport are essential to transporting raw materials and finished goods within and across provinces. The means of transport are also essential to collecting and disposing human waste. However, the wealthy elite are restricting the free movement of goods and services by imposing tolls on important roads, bridges, tunnels, etc. which they claim to be their private property. But these tolls are not only restricting the free movement of goods and services, they are also restricting the free movement of the people! The fact is that these extortionate tolls need to be immediately abolished because they are directly and adversely affecting our "freedom of movement." Therefore, in order to protect the free movement of the people, all

the means of transport will be taken over by the State. They will become the property of the State and the public will have free access to land-based transport (i.e., roads, bridges, tunnels, railways, transit buses). Individuals will, of course, retain full ownership of the means of transport intended for personal use (motor vehicles, boats, etc.). It is imperative that all people, as well as necessity goods and services, are able to move freely between various places in order to advance global economic interdependence.

(8) *All debts are to be forgiven entirely without compensation.* Global debt has reached an unsustainable amount and has consequently put the economic stability of every country at risk. National leaders claim they are working on a plan to pay back this debt, but they will never be able to put forth an actual plan, much less see it through. For not even one of these so-called leaders has the slightest idea how to repay this debt. National leaders have instead resorted to using the same excuses and stalling tactics until they are out of office and the problem is thrown onto the shoulders of the next person to take their place. But enough of their excuses! In its present condition, our society depends on us to continue paying billions of dollars every year out of fear that there will be an economic collapse. However, the economy is going to collapse regardless of whether we continue paying into this endless amount of debt, because it is impossible for any society to truly secure economic stability when it is burdened by past debts. Thus, in order to prevent the impending economic collapse, the State of Sovereignty will forgive all debts entirely without compensation.

(9) *All banks, including private, are to merge into a global State-regulated bank that will introduce a universal digital currency.* Criminal organizations are making

substantial amounts of money through illegal activities, such as drug trafficking and arms smuggling, and depend on money laundering to avoid being caught. Although the exact amount of money that is being laundered is unknown, it is estimated to be in the trillions and growing exponentially each year. But these criminal organizations are not the only ones guilty of money laundering. The wealthy elite and corporations are also laundering money in order to pay fewer taxes or even avoid them completely. Tax evasion schemes have become extremely sophisticated and often involve hiding money in private offshore banks. Almost all countries have established laws that require banks to thoroughly examine all financial transactions, but in reality most banks fail to uphold them. Therefore, the State will merge all banks, including private, into a global State-regulated bank which, in turn, will gradually phase out all legal tender (i.e., paper currency and coins) and introduce a universal digital currency. There is no doubt that the wealthy elite, criminal organizations, and corrupt officials will do everything they can to prevent this from happening, because a global banking system would render all stolen, counterfeit, and dirty money obsolete. More importantly, a global State-regulated bank would make it much more difficult to illegally launder and hide money, while a universal digital currency would effectively eliminate exchange rates and other fees associated with financial transactions.

(10) *The State is to establish and maintain a common language.* The existence of many different languages in society has often served as a barrier to communication. So it is not surprising that language barriers have led to miscommunication and even conflicts between people as important information can be easily lost in translation. However, establishing a common language will

not only help us overcome language barriers, but will also help us communicate more effectively with each other. The State of Sovereignty will therefore establish and maintain a common language that will be taught in all educational institutions. Now, one may think that this course of action will somehow replace their native language, but let there be no misunderstanding—the State has no intention of replacing the unique languages of the people. In order to ensure that one’s native language will not be adversely affected, educational institutions will teach the official language of the State along with the unique languages of each province. A common language will not only give the people one voice, but more importantly, an equal voice.

(11) *The State guarantees a livelihood to all humans and provides for those who are incapacitated to work.* Politicians claim they are doing everything they can to meet the needs of those who are incapacitated to work but they are simply not doing enough. The reality is that there is an overwhelming amount of people who are not receiving the help they need, but we have an obligation to take care of those who are unable to do so themselves. No individual who is incapacitated to work due to age, illness, injury, or any other legitimate reason should ever be deprived of an adequate standard of living. The State of Sovereignty will therefore guarantee a livelihood to all people and will provide for those who are incapacitated to work.

(12) *The abolition of private ownership in favor of collective ownership.* What belongs to all people is now in the hands of a privileged few. Under our present system, the means of production are merely being used to satisfy the interests of the wealthy elite instead of the needs of the people. Since the wealthy elite have

exclusive control of the means of production (machines, factories, workshops, etc.), they ultimately decide what is produced and how much is produced. Private ownership of the means of production thus serves no other purpose than to create a state of dependency in which the people become almost entirely dependent on the wealthy elite to sell them, at extortionate costs, the goods and services they need to live. But the people can free themselves from their oppressors by taking control of the means of production. For this reason, the State will gradually abolish private ownership in favor of collective ownership. The wealthy elite will try to represent private property and personal property as if they are one and the same, but this is just a scheme intended to throw the people into a state of confusion. The abolition of private ownership will not, by any means, abolish personal ownership. Individuals will retain full ownership of their personal property (clothes, homes, motor vehicles, firearms, etc.) and legal right to exclude others from using their personal possessions. The abolition of private ownership pertains only to the productive property (i.e., the means of production) that requires collective effort to operate and belongs to society as a whole. Thus understood, once the people are given full control and ownership of the means of production they will finally be freed from their state of dependency.

(13) *Inheritance is to be limited to one million dollars and excess wealth is to be collected and redistributed equally in order to provide the poor with their basic needs.* Wealth has never been equally distributed throughout society and, as a result, some have always had more than others. Monarchies, for example, have retained a significant amount of power and influence, including vast amounts of land and wealth, through the order of succession (i.e., inheritance). Kings and

queens from around the world are still living in luxury to this day simply because the order of succession allows them to. We need to put an end to their illegitimate succession once and for all, because monarchical supremacy serves no other purpose than to prolong the suffering of the people. As previously stated, however, some people have always had more wealth than others, but never in our history has the imbalance of power between the rich and the poor become so intolerable. We live in a world in which a wealthy minority hoards all the wealth and controls just about every decision made. Now many people must be asking themselves the question, how did political and economic power fall into the hands of the wealthy elite? But there is no short or simple answer to this question. And even if an answer could be easily given it would do nothing to resolve the imbalance of power which exists in today's society. No, the real question people should be asking themselves is, how has the wealthy elite kept political and economic power almost entirely in their hands? And here the answer is simple: inheritance. The fact is that monarchies and the wealthy elite have been using inheritance to keep political and economic power in their families for centuries. But that wealth—that power was never theirs to begin with and it is about time we took back what rightfully belongs to society as a whole. Inheritance will therefore be limited to one million dollars (i.e., \$1,000,000) and excess wealth will be collected by the State so that it can be redistributed equally to provide the poor with their basic needs. And just to be absolutely clear about this, the redistribution of wealth will not be used to give money to the poor, but rather to help satisfy their basic human needs (food, water, clothing, etc.). The burdens which we bear today are enormous, but together we can end poverty and create a better world for us all.

(14) *The abolition of Income Tax and the introduction of a Universal Sales Tax.* Tax evasion is on the rise and is consequently leading to billions of dollars in annual losses. The wealthy elite and corporations are illegally hiding money in private offshore banks, which essentially act as “tax havens” in order to avoid financial regulations and even criminal laws. One has to consider, however, where there is a lack of financial regulation there is almost certainly corruption. The present system of taxation has become complex and inefficient, thus making it more difficult to catch those evading taxes. Therefore, a new system of taxation is necessary in order to prevent tax evasion or at least greatly reduce it. The State will abolish Income Tax and introduce a Universal Sales Tax (UST) which will be a one-time sales tax applied on all luxury goods and services. The UST will be set at a fixed rate on all luxury goods and services, because such things only satisfy an individual's *wants* and not their *needs*. For example, jewelry (i.e., a luxury good) will have the UST applied upon purchase, whereas food (i.e., a necessity) will be exempted from this tax. Thus understood, the Universal Sales Tax is not only a simple form of taxation, but also a fair one since it will tax everyone in the exact same way.

(15) *The State is to manage and regulate all contract-based services (utilities, telecommunications, etc.) and they are to be taxed accordingly.* There is no question that many of today's contract-based services are only being used to extort money from people. Since corporations have privatized our most important services, such as utilities (gas, water, electricity, sanitation, etc.) and telecommunications (i.e., internet and mobile networking services), they can control the costs associated with those services through “legally binding contracts.” Corporations are using these contracts as a means of extortion by

subjecting people to various fees and surcharges. Contracts can take on many different forms but are typically long, difficult to read, and important information is often hidden within the so-called “fine print.” And if an individual tries to end their expensive contract they will most likely have to pay “early termination fees” which is, of course, just another extortion tactic. Corporations also reserve the right to change the terms and conditions of a contract at any time without prior notice, which means they can change your contract without your permission. Corporations can add or remove content, refuse service, and terminate contracts at their sole discretion. And if you do not accept the new terms of the contract, you may not be allowed to use their services anymore. The fact is that these contracts give corporations the power to do what they want, when they want. However, in order to end this legalized extortion, the State will manage and regulate all contract-based services (utilities, telecommunications, etc.) and they will be taxed accordingly—that is, individuals will be taxed fairly and in a way that is appropriate to the particular service.

(16) *All oaths of allegiance are to be abolished and replaced with the Oath of Sovereignty.* We should all know by now that it is unsafe for one person to be given absolute power, so why do we continue to allow our government to have absolute authority over us? True democracy ceases to exist the moment the will of the government becomes greater than the will of the people. The reality is that oaths of allegiance, including oaths of citizenship, not only divide the power which belongs to the people but actually give tyrants more power over them. The State of Sovereignty will therefore abolish these self-serving oaths and replace them with one that will serve the people. The Sovereign Oath: “I, [full name], hereby declare, on oath, full and unconditional loyalty to the Sovereign

State; that I will safeguard and uphold all human rights and freedoms; that I will protect true democracy from corruption and tyranny; and that I will honor this oath at all times. For God and Sovereignty!"

(17) *The disarmament of all Weapons of Mass Destruction.* Weapons of Mass Destruction are one of the greatest threats to humanity. Since their creation, national leaders have been using these destructive weapons for either fearmongering purposes or as a means of deterring other nations from attacking them. Moreover, most people are convinced that the countries which possess these weapons are following a military doctrine known as "Mutually Assured Destruction" (MAD). According to the MAD doctrine, if a country is attacked by another with such weapons they have the right to—and most likely will—retaliate against them with equal or greater force. Knowing this, however, do we actually believe that our future is safe with just the simple reassurance that no country would use these destructive weapons... even by mistake? No, absolutely not. The only way we can be truly safe is when each and every one of these weapons has been disarmed and disposed of properly. However, many of today's national leaders are deliberately ignoring the international law which explicitly bans the production of Weapons of Mass Destruction and are still producing these destructive weapons. It is for this reason why most countries have been reluctant to disarm their Weapons of Mass Destruction, because they fear that doing so would place their country at risk of being attacked by another country which refuses to disarm theirs. But this just increases the chances of an all-out war. The creation of a single and indivisible Sovereign State, however, would be able to disarm all Weapons of Mass Destruction without any country having to fear some kind of retaliation. Indeed, only a single State with a global

government could ensure the safety of each province, because it would be able to disarm all Weapons of Mass Destruction at the same time.

(18) *The State is to establish universal legal age requirements for voting, driving, etc. so that all provinces share the same legal age requirements.* At this present time, legal age requirements vary from country to country, and, even in the same country, they may vary from one place to another. Having different legal age requirements for the same activity not only creates confusion for the public, but also creates a lack of legal certainty for the justice system. Now before we go any further, the “legal age” refers to the age at which a person may participate in a particular activity. As previously stated, however, there are many different or rather conflicting legal age requirements established in every nation. But how can it be possible for someone who is, for example, 18 years of age be legally participating in a particular activity in one place but also be committing an illegal act in another? Either they are of legal age or they are not. There is no good reason why legal age requirements should not be the same everywhere. The State of Sovereignty will therefore establish universal legal age requirements for voting, driving, etc. so that all legal age requirements are the same in every province, county, city, and town.

(19) *The State is to establish a planned economy, which adjusts production to the needs of the people.* The harsh reality is that our economy is failing and it is only a matter of time before there is another economic collapse. Under our present system, there is only one possible way to prevent the economy from collapsing: all debts must be forgiven entirely without compensation. Although this measure would prevent the impending economic collapse, it certainly would not

create lasting economic stability. The people will have to take the means of production (machines, factories, workshops, etc.) out of the hands of the wealthy elite if they are to establish a more sustainable economy. For this purpose, the State will establish a planned economy which will adjust production to the needs of the people—that is, it will produce necessity goods and services. A planned economy will also be able to produce luxury goods and services, but such things will be subject to the Universal Sales Tax. Above all, a planned economy with more integrative policies will ensure that the means of production will be used for the collective good of all.

(20) *The State is to ban mass surveillance and all other invasive surveillance activities threatening the rights and freedoms of the people.* Mass surveillance programs are everywhere and are watching everything we do. We are under constant surveillance at all times and there is no privacy even within the confines of our homes. Our government is collaborating with various corporations in order to secretly collect and retain our personal information without our permission. Mass surveillance is targeting everyone, even those who are not suspected of a crime. The truth is that mass surveillance is not aimed at protecting the people, but the government itself. Mass surveillance undermines our civil liberties, violates our privacy, and runs contrary to democratic principles. Although the real capabilities of these surveillance programs are almost entirely unknown, one thing is certain: Mass surveillance poses a serious threat to our rights and freedoms.

Principles of Sovereignty

1. What is Sovereignty?

Sovereignty is a doctrine that aims to unite all people together to create a single State with a global government.

2. What is a Sovereignist?

A Sovereignist is someone who has taken the Oath of Sovereignty and supports the creation of a single State with a global government.

3. How do the Sovereignists differ from the capitalists, communists, and fascists?

[Capitalists:]

The capitalists want to preserve the existing conditions of society because power, both political and economic, is almost entirely in their hands. The capitalists aim to destroy what is left of true democracy in order to expand corporate power. The capitalists are in favor of private ownership because it gives them exclusive control of the means of production. The capitalists and their corporations want to exploit workers by paying them as little as possible in order to maximize their profits. The capitalists support all corrupt and usurious practices that will force people into debt and keep them enslaved to it.

[Communists:]

The communists want people to believe that their criminal ideology will improve the existing conditions of society when in reality it will only worsen them. The

communists aim not for the abolition of the State but in fact the establishment of their eternal dictatorship. The communists are in favor of communal ownership because it will give them, not the people, control of the means of production. The communists want all workers to earn the same regardless of their service's importance or how well they work. The communists support the introduction of steeply graduated taxes and the abolition of taxes on articles of consumption.

[Fascists:]

The fascists want to improve the existing conditions of their country through the exploitation and enslavement of other countries. The fascists aim for the complete destruction of democracy and the establishment of a totalitarian government. The fascists are in favor of corporate ownership because it will give them absolute control over the means of production. The fascists want to enslave and ultimately exterminate anyone they consider inferior to themselves through forced labor. The fascists support the nationalistic fabrication and believe in the superiority of their country over others.

[Sovereigntists:]

The Sovereigntists want to restore the democratic principles which have been corrupted so that power is put back into the hands of the people. The Sovereigntists aim to create a single State with a global government. The Sovereigntists are in favor of collective ownership because it will give the people full control of the means of production. The Sovereigntists want workers to earn what they are rightfully entitled to in accordance with their service's importance to society. The Sovereigntists support the abolition of Income Tax and the introduction of a Universal Sales Tax in order to tax everyone fairly.

4. What is the Supremacy Complex?

The Supremacy Complex can be divided into three distinct groups.

[The Supremacist:]

The first group consists of Supremacists who believe they are superior to everyone else and will do everything in their power to maintain their false sense of supremacy.

[The Servient:]

The second group consists of Servients who are forced, in one way or another, to accept inferior positions in society.

[The Sovereignist:]

The third group consists of Sovereignists who are taking a stand against supremacy and are distinguished from the servients by this only: their fighting spirit. Within each and every Sovereignist is the manifestation of a fighting spirit. There is nothing that can diminish this fighting spirit, nor is there anything that can destroy it. The Sovereignist's fighting spirit is the very essence of human solidarity and is the driving force behind their revolutionary movement. The Sovereignist absolutely opposes capitulation and rejects suicide as an option. Suicide, as conceived by the Sovereignist, is not the act of a brave individual, but that of a coward. Anyone who commits such an act does so, not because it is honorable, but in order to avoid evil.

5. What is the Global Revolution?

The Global Revolution is the revolutionary restructuring of all existing social, political, and economic conditions. The Global Revolution aims to unite the people

of the world against their oppressors and help them establish the State of Sovereignty. Yet those in power have somehow managed to create the illusion that they have been trying to improve, as if they are even capable of such a thing, the living standards of the people. For this reason, some of them propose minor changes to outdated laws and policies—while others propose major reforms which, under the pretense of progress or improvement, are actually aimed at preserving the existing conditions of society. The fact remains; nothing will ever change unless the people collectively take action. Therefore, if the people are to truly secure an adequate standard of living they must unite in a global effort to overthrow the existing conditions of society.

6. Will it be possible for this revolution to take place in one country alone?

No. It will take the collective action of all countries for this revolution to successfully overthrow supremacy and establish the State of Sovereignty. Otherwise, the efforts of one country alone will merely result in the displacement of supremacy and not its end. The greatest obstacle to the Sovereigntist movement will not be, as some would believe, a physical one but in fact an ideological one. Nationalism, which is the greatest fabrication in history, has firmly embedded the idea that people are somehow inherently different based on where they are born, but this is absolutely false because each and every person is human regardless of where they are born. National leaders, however, not only serve to perpetuate the nationalist ideology, but they also reinforce it through propaganda and radicalism. Yet, these national tyrants are not only creating and encouraging divisions among people within their own country, but also with people from other countries in order to further their own interests. Nationalism has even turned some people into radical nationalists who will obey their leaders without question, because they have strong nationalistic

feelings and believe in the superiority of their country over others. Nevertheless, humanity has made significant progress towards creating a more free and equitable society before the existence of nationalism and will continue to do so after it ceases to exist.

7. How will a single State with a global government end wars between nations?

Wars between nations are, as a rule, the outcome of the Supremacy Complex, for each nation not only believes in its own superiority, but in its superiority over other nations. The creation of a single State with a global government will be able to end wars between nations, because it would unite all people together in a global effort to overthrow supremacy and, in the process, bring an end to the nationalist ideology. Nationalism is one of the most powerful ideologies existing in today's society and it claims that every country must act in its own "national interest." However, the interests of the people, everywhere and at all times, are the same regardless of their nationality; they long for an adequate standard of living. Sovereignism believes that every person is both collectively and individually connected to and dependent on all humanity. Therefore, the interests of a nation do not serve its people, but those whose interests are completely separate from and, above all, opposed to the true interests of the people.

8. How does this new system of governance intend to secure responsibility in the public service?

This new system of governance intends to secure responsibility in the public service by (1) replacing the electoral system with a responsible system and by (2) creating a program that will prepare individuals, to the best extent possible, for the public service. The first measure would ensure that those who are currently in the public

service will be properly supervised and held accountable for their actions, while the second measure would establish educational requirements for those who wish to become public officials.

9. What is the Public Services Program?

The Public Services Program is a four years' course of political education that will gradually prepare individuals for public office. The program has been divided into two phases; the educational phase will introduce individuals to key political concepts, while the training phase will place them under the direct guidance of public officials who will help them develop the necessary skills to be proficient in the public service. Ultimately, the program aims to instill knowledge in the minds of future officials, so that they will be able to perform their duties as efficiently and effectively as possible.

10. Will Sovereignty be totalitarian?

No. Sovereignty will not be, in any way, totalitarian but in fact the exact opposite. Totalitarianism aims to centralize power in its own hands and decentralize power in the hands of the people. Sovereignty aims to help the people regain the power they have lost instead of losing the power they still have. Totalitarianism is very much in favor of mass surveillance programs to secretly collect and retain the public's phone and internet records. Sovereignty not only opposes such programs but has put forth a plan of action to end them at once. The government must reflect, at all times, the will of the people, and can never be allowed, at any time, to develop a will of its own. Thus understood, Sovereignty is not totalitarian but rather equalitarian, and the Sovereignists strive to abolish all harmful and false practices in order to preserve those which are essential and true. Above all, the

Sovereignists have no interests separate or apart from the people—a fundamental principle which is expressed in their motto: “One People, One State, One Purpose.”

11. What will be the attitude of Sovereignism to political parties?

Political parties are nothing more than political factions whose only interest is to sow discord among people in order to weaken their collective power and take control of the government. Such factions create and reinforce bitter feuds, distract public officials from their duties, and undermine any confidence the people have in the government. Sovereignism therefore considers any kind of political factionalism to be a detriment to good governance and opposes any politician who wants to divide the government into competing factions.

12. What will be the attitude of Sovereignism to nationalism?

Nationalism has created and enforced a very pervasive form of segregation which not only divides society but in fact destroys human solidarity. Sovereignism is therefore opposed to the nationalist ideology, because it only serves as a barrier to the full social, political, and economic integration of the people. Sovereignism instead strives to bring all people together in order to help build a global community that recognizes only one type of citizenship—the individual's humanity. Nationalities will thus gradually fade away as nations dissolve and integrate into a single State with a global government.

13. What will be the attitude of Sovereignism to religion?

The State, as conceived and realized by Sovereignism, is a spiritual and ethical entity for securing the social, political, and economic solidarity of the people. Since religious freedom is of the utmost importance to Sovereignism, the State will treat every religious community equally and without discrimination. Above all,

Sovereignism not only conforms to the shared moral values of the people, but wants to embrace those same moral values in its laws and policies.

14. How will the State establish a global banking system?

In order to establish a global banking system, the State will merge all banks, including private, into a global State-regulated bank. After, the State will gradually phase out all legal tender (i.e., paper currency and coins) and, in the process, introduce a universal digital currency. There is no doubt that there are many criminals, both in and out of office, who are against the establishment of a global State-regulated bank, because it would make it nearly impossible for them to launder money or profit from their illegal activities. More importantly, the introduction of a universal digital currency would in fact render all stolen, counterfeit, and dirty money that is currently in circulation obsolete.

15. What is the Universal Sales Tax?

The Universal Sales Tax (UST) is a sales tax that will be applied, once, at the point of purchase on any luxury good or service. Unlike the present system of taxation which taxes everyone differently, this new system of taxation will tax everyone in the exact same way. This new system of taxation is also progressive, because those who spend more on luxury goods and services will pay more in taxes.

16. What will happen to personal property?

The abolition of private ownership will not, in any way, result in the abolition of personal ownership. The personal property of an individual will remain, as it is now, in their own hands. Personal property includes items that were purchased or inherited by someone and are intended for personal use (clothes, homes, motor

vehicles, firearms, etc.). Individuals will retain full ownership of their personal property and legal right to exclude others from using their personal possessions.

17. What services will be managed and regulated by the State?

The State will manage and regulate all contract-based services (utilities, telecommunications, etc.) in order to prevent corporations from controlling important services and end their legalized extortion. Unlike the multi-billion dollar corporations that overprice their services and subject individuals to various fees and surcharges, the State will ensure that individuals are taxed fairly and in a way that is appropriate to the particular service. Above all, this measure will make it possible to regulate these services in the interests of the people.

18. What means of transport will the public have free access to?

The public will have free access to almost all land-based transport such as roads, bridges, tunnels, railways, and transit buses. The public will also have access to other means of transport such as motor vehicles and aircraft, but since these types of transport are considered luxury goods they will be treated as such and therefore will be subject to the Universal Sales Tax.

19. What is the purpose of limiting inheritance to one million dollars?

The purpose of limiting inheritance to one million dollars (i.e., \$1,000,000) is to ensure that every person will have an adequate standard of living. Every individual will be allowed to inherit exactly one million dollars in untaxable wealth in their lifetime. An individual will, for example, be allowed to receive multiple inheritances, from any number of people, until they inherit one million dollars in total. However, if an individual inherits one million dollars all at once they will no longer be allowed to inherit any more wealth and excess wealth will be collected and redistributed

equally throughout society. Above all, setting a limit on the inheritance of wealth will effectively end the inheritance of power.

20. What is the purpose of a planned economy?

The purpose of a planned economy is to reduce unemployment, maximize efficiency in production, and to build a better future. A planned economy will be able to produce both the *wants* (luxury goods and services) and *needs* (necessary goods and services) of the people, while at the same time it will be able to promote steady economic growth. Unlike the present economic system which leads to unnecessary recessions and depressions, a planned economy will implement more integrative policies in order to create economic stability. Above all, the establishment of a planned economy will make available to society all the goods and services necessary to satisfy everyone's needs.

21. What is the Sovereigntist movement?

The Sovereigntist movement is the most powerful and resolute force ever to come into existence and is the only force capable of overthrowing supremacy. Ultimately, the Sovereigntist movement aims to restore true democracy, reinstate good governance, safeguard human rights, fight corruption, reduce crime, address public concerns, improve the standard of living, and establish universal equality. The Sovereigntist movement is, above all, a movement for the people by the people. It is a movement that will unite humanity in a global effort to achieve social, political, and economic change. Only through this revolutionary movement can the State of Sovereignty be established.

Interview with a Sovereignist

Below is a list of twenty-one questions that have been answered by Sovereign, the author of Sovereignty.

Question 1: *Are you a Sovereignist?*

Answer: Yes.

Question 2: *What is the aim of the Sovereignists?*

Answer: We aim for the creation of a single State with a global government that will restore true democracy and establish universal equality.

Question 3: *How are the Sovereignists different from the capitalists?*

Answer: The Sovereignists are different from the capitalists in three distinct ways. The capitalists and their corporations aim to replace the democratic government with their own privatized government. The Sovereignists aim to create a single State with a global government. The capitalists support the present free market economy in which production revolves around the interests of their multi-billion dollar corporations. The Sovereignists support a planned economy in which production revolves around the needs of the people. The capitalists favor private ownership because it gives them exclusive control of the means of production. The Sovereignists favor collective ownership because it will give the people full control of the means of production.

Question 4: *How are the Sovereignists different from the communists?*

Answer: The Sovereignists are different from the communists in three distinct ways. The communists aim to overthrow the democratic government in order to establish a dictatorship. The Sovereignists aim to overthrow supremacy and establish the State of Sovereignty. The communists want to introduce steeply graduated taxes and abolish taxes on articles of consumption. The Sovereignists want to introduce a Universal Sales Tax and abolish Income Tax. The communists demand that all workers earn the same regardless of their service's importance or how well they work. The Sovereignists demand that workers earn what they are rightfully entitled to and those whose services are functionally more important or require more skills to be performed effectively are adequately rewarded.

Question 5: *How are the Sovereignists different from the fascists?*

Answer: The Sovereignists are different from the fascists in three distinct ways. The fascists aim to centralize all political and economic power into their hands in order to establish a totalitarian system of governance. The Sovereignists aim to establish, through democratic means, a responsible system of governance. The fascists declare that only those who have the same nationality as them can be citizens of the State. The Sovereignists declare that all people are citizens of the State regardless of their nationality. The fascists openly reject democracy and believe that the government should reflect the will of a few. The Sovereignists openly reject totalitarianism and believe that the government should reflect the will of the people.

Question 6: *What is the Supremacy Complex?*

Answer: The Supremacy Complex can be defined, in short, as the never-ending struggle against human supremacy. On one hand, the supremacists believe they are superior to everyone else and will do everything in their power to maintain

their false sense of supremacy. On the other hand, the servients are those who are forced, in one way or another, to accept inferior positions in society.

Question 7: *What does the Global Revolution seek to accomplish?*

Answer: The Global Revolution seeks to bring about revolutionary change through the collective and coordinated efforts of the Sovereignist movement. Sovereignism is in favor of a responsible government, whereas the present system of governance is greatly against it. The fact is that even our own government is in favor of centralizing power to the wealthy elite and this is greatly diminishing the power which belongs to the people. Of course, when power is centralized, there is no rule of law or sharing of power, there is only corruption and subjugation. However, the Global Revolution will restore the democratic principles which have been corrupted, so that all social, political, and economic power is put back into the hands of the people.

Question 8: *Why is this new system of governance necessary?*

Answer: This new system of governance is necessary because our government has failed in its duty to protect true democracy from corruption. The electoral system rests on the assumption that by continually replacing our public officials that we will never have a tyrant in office. But every election we have now, at their best, gives us only a change in tyrants. We must therefore replace this corrupted system with a new and more effective system—a responsible system. When people see even one corrupt official they often come to the conclusion that the whole system is corrupt. Under a responsible system of governance, however, they will come to understand that the criminal actions of one official do not represent the whole system.

Question 9: *How will this new system of governance enforce responsibility in the public service?*

Answer: We Sovereignists are committed to securing an unprecedented level of responsibility in governance. However, even under this new system of governance it should not be expected that individuals in the public service would never abuse their positions. No system can be devised in such a way to secure us to that extent. But a responsible system of governance would end many of the abuses we now see. More importantly, under a responsible system of governance, officials who are honest and fulfill their responsibilities will receive the greatest incentive: their assured place in office, the opportunity to build a reputation, and benefits for when they are ready to retire. On the contrary, any official who is caught abusing their position will receive the harshest punishment: their immediate removal from office, their reputation destroyed, and, if possible, stripped of everything they own.

Question 10: *How long will a public official's term of office be?*

Answer: A public official's term of office will not be determined by a set amount of time, but instead by their performance and honesty. Public officials will therefore remain in our service all their lives, as long as they serve us responsibly, and they will leave our service, not at the end of their terms, but at once if they fail us.

Question 11: *Will nationalities continue to exist under Sovereignism?*

Answer: Sovereignism will make it so that all people, regardless of their nationality, will become citizens of the State. The State of Sovereignty has no intention of suppressing anything, either directly or indirectly, that defines who we are which means that we can keep our cultures, values, beliefs and anything else

that makes us unique. Sovereignism only wants the people of the world to see that nationalism has blinded them from the truth—that we are all human no matter where we are born. Over time the nationalist ideology will gradually fade away as nations dissolve and integrate into a single State with a global government.

Question 12: *Will religious freedom be protected under Sovereignism?*

Answer: Sovereignism fully believes in the protection and promotion of religious freedom. The State of Sovereignty will safeguard religious freedom in which members of a religious group, expressing themselves peacefully, and as good citizens of the State, will be protected by the law equally and without discrimination. The State will remain impartial to, and will not get involved in, any internal religious affairs provided that religious institutions and religious groups do not disturb the peace or obstruct others in their religious worship. State-sanctioning of religious beliefs will only occur in the most extreme cases, for example, if a religion or religious group stirs up conflict and violence.

Question 13: *How will the State make educational, medical, and legal services free?*

Answer: With the abolition of private ownership, the establishment of a planned economy, and the redistribution of wealth, the State of Sovereignty will have all that is necessary to provide society as a whole with free educational, medical, and legal services.

Question 14: *What will be the official language of the State?*

Answer: Deciding on what language would become the official language of the State was exceptionally difficult. It would have been desirable, of course, to have the people vote on what the official language should be, but most people would simply vote for their own native language. However, after much thought and

consideration, I have determined that the English language should become the official language of the State since it is already the most commonly accepted language around the world. Establishing a common language is absolutely necessary, because a global government will need a shared language to efficiently manage the affairs of each province without having any barriers to communication. More importantly, the official language will be taught along with the unique languages of the people in order to ensure that they are not adversely affected.

Question 15: *Why is inheritance being limited to one million dollars?*

Answer: Inheritance is being limited to one million dollars, because one of the greatest sources of inequality is due to the unequal distribution of wealth. It is often said that “the gap between the rich and the poor is widening every day,” but the fact is that the hundred richest people already possess more wealth than the rest of the world’s population combined! There is no gap, only an insurmountable divide. Never in our history has wealth become so concentrated in the hands of so few. But we cannot allow this injustice to continue. No, the only way this source of inequality can be resolved is by the equal redistribution of wealth.

Question 16: *Why is a planned economy necessary?*

Answer: A planned economy is necessary for the prosperous and sustainable growth of the people. However, poor leadership and corruption have left us with very unstable economy and a debt that can never be repaid. There is no doubt that our economy is on the verge of collapsing and if we do not act soon there will be another recession, or worse, a depression. We must therefore take control of the means of production (machines, factories, workshops, etc.) in order to establish a planned economy that will produce necessity goods and services. A

planned economy will, of course, also be able to produce luxury goods and services, but we must first and foremost secure the *needs* of the people.

Question 17: *How will the State ban all mass surveillance programs?*

Answer: In order to ban all mass surveillance programs, the State will provide a full public accounting of all of its past mass surveillance activities, while at the same time all phone and internet records already collected must be readily available to face scrutiny from public advocates. Above all, any future surveillance programs which target various criminal organizations and fugitives will need to be authorized by an independent court that has full access to the surveillance activities. Those in power do not want us to know the truth, which is why it is absolutely necessary that we put an end to their invasive surveillance programs that are violating our rights and freedoms.

Question 18: *How do you plan to disarm all Weapons of Mass Destruction?*

Answer: The complete disarmament of all Weapons of Mass Destruction can only be achieved after every nation has been dissolved and integrated into a single State with a global government. In order to ensure the safety of each province, Weapons of Mass Destruction will not be disarmed one at a time, but instead all at the same time.

Question 19: *What will be your first measure once you have restored true democracy?*

Answer: Free the people from debt slavery.

Question 20: *How will you do this?*

Answer: All debts will be forgiven entirely without compensation. After, we will begin taking the necessary steps to creating a more sustainable future.

Question 21: *What does the flag of Sovereignty symbolize?*

Answer: We Sovereignists regard our flag as being the symbol of human solidarity. The flag represents the Alpha and Omega, in other words, the beginning of Sovereignism and the end of nationalism. The colors of the flag are also symbolic to us; in the red we see the will of the people, in the black the responsibility the State has to the people, and in the white the moral obligation we all share for the betterment of society.

Oath of Sovereignty

I, [full name], hereby declare, on oath, full and unconditional loyalty to the Sovereign State; that I will safeguard and uphold all human rights and freedoms; that I will protect true democracy from corruption and tyranny; and that I will honor this oath at all times. For God and Sovereignty!

Imperium pertinet ad Populum.
Sovereignty belongs to the People.